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## BACKGROUND

The $13^{\text {th }}$ Ave. corridor in West Fargo has experienced dramatic growth over the past several years. Most of the growth has occurred on the south side of $13^{\text {th }}$ Ave. between $17^{\text {th }} \mathrm{St}$. E. and Sheyenne St. The roadway is primarily classified as a minor arterial in West Fargo and a principal arterial in Fargo and serves many high-density dwelling units and commercial facilities.

Many dwelling units, including single family dwellings and apartment complexes continue to be constructed along the corridor and this growth trend is expected to continue. A direct result of increased development is increased traffic volumes, especially along arterials. Currently, traffic is uninterrupted along $13^{\text {th }}$ Ave. between Sheyenne St. and $9^{\text {th }}$ St. E., which is approximately one mile in length.
Concerns have been raised about pedestrian safety due to the constant traffic flow along $13^{\text {th }}$ Ave.
The study area spans $13^{\text {th }}$ Ave. S. from Sheyenne St. to $9^{\text {th }}$ St. E., however, the $6^{\text {th }}$ St. E. intersection is the primary area of interest. Sixth St. E. is almost halfway between the Sheyenne St. and 9 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ St. E. and provides direct access from the south side of $13^{\text {th }}$ Ave. to several facilities on the north side, such as a soccer complex and two elementary schools: Eastwood and Berger.

## Traffic Control

Three intersections were analyzed along the study area, which include Sheyenne St., $6^{\text {th }}$ St. E., and $9^{\text {th }}$ St. E. (note Figure 1) . Sheyenne St. and $9^{\text {th }}$ St. E. operate under actuated-uncoordinated signal control, while $6^{\text {th }} S$. E. operates under two-way stop control (TWSC).

## Traffic Volumes

Traffic volumes along the $13^{\text {th }}$ Ave. S. corridor increase from west to east (1). Based on traffic counts from the year 2000, the average daily traffic (ADT) on $13^{\text {th }}$ Ave. S. ranges from 4,900 to 13,800 vehicles (Sheyenne St. to $9^{\text {th }}$ St. E.). However, the traffic volumes between the two intersections range from 10,700 and 11,500 . Although $13^{\text {th }}$ Ave. is a truck route, the heavy vehicle percentages are low along the western part of the corridor ( $1-4 \%$ of the intersection traffic volume) since the area is primarily residential with some office and commercial facilities.

## Roadway Geometry

$13^{\text {th }}$ Ave. consists of two through lanes for each direction and provides left-turn lane at intersecting side streets. A median separates the opposing traffic between the intersections of $6^{\text {th }}$ St. E. to $9^{\text {th }}$ St. E., while a two-way left turn lane (TWLTL) is used between $6^{\text {th }} \mathrm{St}$. E. and Sheyenne St. The intersections of Sheyenne St. and $9^{\text {th }}$ St. E. have left-turn lanes for every approach and right-turn lanes are common. However, $6^{\text {th }}$ St. E. has one lane of travel for the north and south approaches.


Figure 1. Analysis Corridor

## OBJECTIVES

The Advanced Traffic Analysis Center (ATAC) was asked to evaluate the current traffic operations of the intersection of $13^{\text {th }}$ Ave. and $6^{\text {th }}$ St. E. and to determine the impacts that a signal installation would have on the intersection and $13^{\text {th }}$ Ave. The City of West Fargo would like to install a traffic signal at $6^{\text {th }}$ St. E.; however, they request assistance from ATAC in determining if the signal is justified.

The major tasks for this study were to perform a signal warrant analysis at $6^{\text {th }} \mathrm{St}$. E. and a simulation analysis that evaluated different control strategies and traffic volumes along the analysis corridor. The traffic signal warrant analysis was based on a 12 -hour count conducted on September 8, 2004. Several traffic simulation scenarios were compared for the AM and PM peak periods to determine the effects of incorporating a traffic signal at $6^{\text {th }} \mathrm{St}$. E.

## DATA COLLECTION

Several types of traffic data were collected to perform the signal warrant analysis and traffic simulation analysis. Geometric data and the existing signal timing plans were provided by the City of West Fargo. Traffic volume information was obtained by the City of West Fargo and the Fargo-Moorhead Council of Governments (F-M COG).

## Geometric Data

Accurate data related to the roadway's geometry are necessary to portray a realistic view of the transportation network. Insufficient geometric data may cause erroneous output and recommendations. For example, inaccurate link lengths would cause incorrect offsets for the signal coordination. Information related to the road network was obtained from aerial photos and AutoCAD drawings.

## Traffic Control Data

The existing signal timing plans were obtained to evaluate the corridor's current performance. Currently, the traffic signals at Sheyenne St. and $9^{\text {th }}$ St. E. operate as actuated-uncoordinated. Actuated input values were used to more accurately simulate the signal controller operation. This data served as a baseline for comparing proposed timing strategies.

## Traffic Volume Data

Peak-hour turning movement counts were performed at Sheyenne St. and $9^{\text {th }}$ St. E. by the City of West Fargo and the Fargo-Moorhead Council of Governments (F-M COG). In Addition, F-M COG performed a 12 -hour turning movement count at $6^{\text {th }}$ St. E and included vehicles and pedestrians. All of the traffic data were gathered on September 8, 2004 and are illustrated in Appendix A.

## TRAFFIC SIGNAL WARRANT ANALYSIS

A traffic signal warrant analysis was performed at the intersection of $13^{\text {th }}$ Ave. and $6^{\text {th }}$ St. E. The 2003 Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices (MUTCD) was used for the warrant analysis, which has the same signal warrant information as the 2000 MUTCD. The MUTCD currently has eight traffic signal warrants to evaluate an intersection; however, six of the warrants were analyzed for this study and include the following (2):

- Warrant 1, Eight-Hour Vehicular Volume
- Warrant 2, Four-Hour Vehicular Volume
- Warrant 3, Peak Hour
- Warrant 4, Pedestrian Volume
- Warrant 5, School Crossing
- Warrant 6, Coordinated Signal System

The remaining two warrants were not evaluated since they were either not applicable or the required data was not available. Appendix B illustrates the results of the 6 warrants analyzed, while the next section discusses warrants 4,5 and 6 , which had the highest potential for being met.

## Warrant 4, Pedestrian Volume

The pedestrian volume warrant takes into account the number of pedestrians crossing the roadway as well as the number of gaps (time needed for pedestrians to cross the street). The gap time is calculated based on road width, pedestrian walking speed, and reaction time. In terms of adequate gap time, at least 60 gaps/hour are required. With the two travel lanes in each direction along with a left-turn lane, pedestrians must travel across five lanes having a distance of approximately 70 ft . Using the 70 ft road width, a walking speed of 3.5 fps , and a 3.0 second reaction time, the gap time for this study was 23 seconds. Due to the steady traffic flow along $13^{\text {th }}$ Ave. and lack of platoons from neighboring intersections, very few allowable gaps were observed during the peak periods. The AM, mid-day, and PM peaks provided six, five, and four gaps, respectively.

The second criterion for Warrant 4 is pedestrian volume. Pedestrian volumes crossing $13^{\text {th }}$ Ave. were fairly low. The highest hour for pedestrian activity (3:00-4:00 PM) had 10 pedestrians crossing $13^{\text {th }}$ Ave. To satisfy the signal warrant, 100 ped/hour must cross the major street for any 4 hours or experience 190 ped/hr for any one hour. Therefore, Warrant 4 is not met due to the low pedestrian volume even though the gap criterion was met.

## Warrant 5, School Crossing

The school crossing warrant requires a minimum of 20 students crossing the major street per hour and as many gaps as minutes when children are using the crossing. Six students were observed crossing $13^{\text {th }}$ Ave. during the AM peak (7:00-8:00 AM). Therefore, the warrant is not satisfied based on student activity. It should be noted that only 2 gaps were observed during the same hour (7:00-8:00 AM),

## Warrant 6, Coordinated Signal System

The coordinated signal system warrant is satisfied on a two-way street when the adjacent signals do not provide effective platooning and the proposed signal will benefit the adjacent signals by providing progressive operations. As previously mentioned, $6^{\text {th }} \mathrm{St}$. E. is almost at the halfway point of the mile section between Sheyenne St. and $9^{\text {th }}$ Ave. E. The platoons of vehicles were already showing signs of dispersion as they reached $6{ }^{\text {th }}$ St. E. Therefore, the platoons are severely dispersed as they reach the adjacent signal. The current platoon dispersion and other side-street traffic entering $13^{\text {th }}$ Ave. creates minimal gaps at $6^{\text {th }}$ St. E. In fact, the average gap time for crossing $13^{\text {th }}$ Ave. during the AM, mid-day, and PM peak periods was $4-5,4-5$, and $2-3$ seconds, respectively (the gap study program creates bins for storing information, e.g., a 4.5 sec gap is stored in $4-5 \mathrm{sec}$ bin). A signal at $6^{\text {th }}$ St. E. would provide better platoons to $9^{\text {th }}$ St. E. and Sheyenne St. when combined to form a coordinated system. Based on this benefit, Warrant 6 is satisfied for $13^{\text {th }}$ Ave. and $6^{\text {th }}$ St. E.

Satisfying one traffic signal warrant doesn't necessarily mean that a signal should be installed at the intersection. However, access management and safety play a role in an agency's decision to implement a traffic signal. In terms of access management, many agencies recommend spacing traffic signals every $1 / 2$ mile along arterials so a traffic signal at 6th St. E. is appropriate in terms of location. In addition, the traffic signal would provide pedestrians, especially school children, with a safe location to cross $13^{\text {th }}$ Ave.

## TRAFFIC SIMULATION

Traffic simulation models allow practitioners to evaluate different scenarios prior to field implementation. This study used the CORSIM simulation model, a microscopic stochastic simulation model, which was developed for the Federal Highway Administration (3). CORSIM provides numerical and visual output to assess the operational conditions of a transportation network, such as queue lengths and delay time.

Several simulation scenarios were compared for the AM and PM peak periods to determine the effects of incorporating a traffic signal at $6^{\text {th }} \mathrm{St}$. E. Since the south side of $13^{\text {th }}$ Ave. continues to be developed, a sensitivity analysis with potential traffic volumes was performed using the existing and future traffic control. Existing traffic control represents the two traffic signals at Sheyenne St. and 9 $9^{\text {th }}$ St. E. and a twoway stop sign control at $6^{\text {th }}$ St. E. For the future control, a signal was added at $6^{\text {th }}$ St. E. and the 3 intersections operated under coordinated operations. Both the AM and PM scenarios used a 90 -second cycle length with differing splits and offsets. The scenarios analyzed are summarized as follows:

- Traffic Volume - Existing conditions; $25 \%, 50 \%, 75 \%$, and $100 \%$ growth for the NB approach of $6^{\text {th }}$ St. E.
- Traffic Control - Existing conditions (actuated-uncoordinated operations) and future conditions (signal at $6^{\text {th }}$ St. E. and actuated-coordinated operations)

The input parameters for CORSIM included the intersection's geometry, turning movement counts, and traffic control. Each scenario was simulated 30 times to represent a normal distribution and had a onehour duration. It also should be noted that the simulations were "seeded" with traffic for 5 minutes before accumulating the numerical output.

## Simulation Output

The numerical output extracted from CORSIM pertained to delay time. Delay time was summarized for the critical approach of $6^{\text {th }}$ St. E. (northbound), the east-west links of $13^{\text {th }}$ Ave., and the overall network. Tables 1 and 2 illustrate the delay time and percent change for the various scenarios during the AM and PM peak periods. The delay time calculated by CORSIM includes the delay encountered at a signal or stop sign (control delay) as well as vehicle interaction or car following delay.

Table 1. Simulation delay time during the AM peak period.

| AM Peak | Network Delay (vehicle-min) |  | 13th Ave <br> Delay (vehicle-min) |  | 6th St. E. NB Approach Delay (sec/vehicle) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Traffic Growth | Existing | Future | Existing | Future | Existing | Future |
|  | 1374.6 | 1872.6 | 683.3 | 715.6 | 10.7 | 21.7 |
| 0\% | 36.2\% |  | 4.7\% |  | 103.6\% |  |
| 25\% | 1414.9 | 1918.1 | 697.7 | 747.0 | 11.2 | 21.6 |
| 25\% | 35.6\% |  | 7.1\% |  | 92.5\% |  |
| 50\% | 1457.1 | 1944.8 | 726.8 | 762.7 | 12.0 | 21.5 |
|  | 33.5\% |  | 4.9\% |  | 79.5\% |  |
| 75\% | 1487.8 | 2010.3 | 730.4 | 809.3 | 13.1 | 21.4 |
| 75\% | 35.1\% |  | 10.8\% |  | 63.5\% |  |
| 100\% | 1557.3 | 2039.5 | 764.7 | 822.8 | 15.6 | 21.4 |
|  | 31.0\% |  | 7.6\% |  | 37.6\% |  |

Table 2. Simulation delay time during the PM peak period.

| PM Peak | Network Delay (vehicle-min) |  | 13th Ave. <br> Delay (vehicle-min) |  | 6th St. E. NB Approach Delay (sec/vehicle) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Traffic Growth | Existing | Future | Existing | Future | Existing | Future |
| 0\% | 2145.8 | 2527.2 | 1190.7 | 1178.1 | 12.3 | 27.1 |
| \% | 17.8\% |  | -1.1\% |  | 120.9\% |  |
| 25\% | 2157.7 | 2587.3 | 1202.2 | 1199.8 | 12.5 | 26.7 |
|  | 19.9\% |  | -0.2\% |  | 113.9\% |  |
| 50\% | 2195.5 | 2622.9 | 1222.0 | 1238.5 | 13.2 | 24.4 |
|  | 19.5\% |  | 1.4\% |  | 84.6\% |  |
| 75\% | 2207.3 | 2654.8 | 1230.3 | 1263.4 | 13.0 | 22.5 |
|  | 20.3\% |  | 2.7\% |  | 72.6\% |  |
| 100\% | 2227.1 | 2679.1 | 1242.1 | 1287.5 | 13.5 | 22.8 |
|  | 20.3\% |  | 3.7\% |  | 68.9\% |  |

## AM Peak Period

The implementation of a traffic signal at $6^{\text {th }}$ St. E. created over two times the delay for the northbound approach. The existing conditions with TWSC creates an average delay time of 10.7 seconds per vehicle for all movements while the future condition with a signal creates average delay of $21.7 \mathrm{sec} / \mathrm{veh}$. As traffic increases for $6^{\text {th }}$ St. E., the negative delay impacts of the signal installation decrease. Although the delay time is higher for the signalized scenarios, the motorist's level of service (LOS) is similar. Level of service can be defined as a qualitative measure of operational performance, which may consist of travel time, freedom to maneuver, comfort, and convenience. Motorists can experience anxiety at a stop sign while turning onto or crossing the major street since they need to judge an acceptable gap. This phenomenon adversely affects LOS and is not a factor under signalized control.

Thirteenth Ave. was not significantly affected by implementing a traffic signal at $6^{\text {th }} \mathrm{St}$. E. The additional signal created less than $4.7 \%$ more delay time with the existing traffic volume. The other traffic scenarios create delay time increases ranging from 4.9-10.8\%. Although the additional signal would cause some traffic on $13^{\text {th }}$ Ave. to stop at $6^{\text {th }}$ St. E., the delay time increase for $13^{\text {th }}$ Ave. is not substantial. This can be explained by the implementation of coordinated signal plans between the three signals rather than the current uncoordinated operation. In addition, the demand on $6^{\text {th }} S t$. E . is not large enough to require a high amount of green time so most of the available green time is used by $13^{\text {th }}$ Ave. traffic.

The total network delay ranged from 31.0-36.2\% when comparing the two traffic control scenarios. The additional signal increases network delay; however, the process of coordinating the traffic signals also may increase network delay. Coordination provides progression on the major street which reduces delay for the major street. As a result, the minor-street approaches will experience higher delay since traffic has to wait longer to cross or access the major street.

## PM Peak Period

Similar to the AM peak, the implementation of a traffic signal at $6^{\text {th }}$ St. E. created over two times the delay for the northbound approach, which equates to about $15 \mathrm{sec} / \mathrm{veh}$. As traffic growth increases for $6^{\text {th }} \mathrm{St}$. E., the negative delay impacts of the signal installation decreases, creating about an additional 10 seconds of delay per vehicle.

The additional traffic signal and the coordinated operations of $13^{\text {th }}$ Ave. caused minor delay time impacts. The current traffic levels and the $25 \%$ growth scenario actually reduced delay along $13^{\text {th }}$ Ave. while the 50 - 100\% growth scenarios experienced minor delay increases. These outcomes are a result of higher traffic volumes along $13^{\text {th }}$ Ave. during the PM peak which receive the benefits of signal coordination.

The total network delay increase ranged from 17.8-20.3\% when comparing the two traffic control scenarios. As previously discussed, this increase is due to adding a signal at $6^{\text {th }}$ St. E. and providing coordinated operation to $13^{\text {th }}$ Ave.

## SUMMARY

This study evaluated the current traffic operations of the intersection of $13^{\text {th }}$ Ave. and $6^{\text {th }}$ St. E. Although the intersection does not have high side-street traffic volumes, the gaps for accessing $13^{\text {th }}$ Ave. are minimal, especially for pedestrians. The results of the traffic signal warrant analysis determined that Warrant 6: Coordinated Signal System was satisfied. The signal would benefit the adjacent signals by providing progressive operations. In addition, the signal would provide a safe crossing for pedestrians that otherwise would not exist along the mile section between Sheyenne St. and $9^{\text {th }}$ St. E.

The simulation analysis provided insight to the effects of adding the traffic signal under various traffic levels for $6^{\text {th }}$ St. E. For the AM and PM peak periods, delay time for $6^{\text {th }}$ St. E. increased under signal control; however, the increase was typically only 10-15 seconds per vehicle. Delay increases along $13^{\text {th }}$ Ave. were minimal due to the improved traffic flow under coordinated signal operations.

Based on the signal warrant and traffic simulation analyses, a traffic signal installation at $6^{\text {th }} \mathrm{St}$. E. is recommended. The increased delay for motorists at $6^{\text {th }} \mathrm{St}$. E. would be offset by the benefits of increased pedestrian safety for those crossing $13^{\text {th }}$ Ave., decreased driver anxiety when accessing $13^{\text {th }}$ Ave., and coordinated signal operations along $13^{\text {th }}$ Ave.
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## Appendix A: Traffic Data

## 13th Ave. \& Sheyenne St.



|  |  | Southbound |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  | Northbound |  |  |  | Eastbound |  |  |  | Auto <br> Total | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Ped } \\ & \text { Total } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Truck <br> Total | Hourly Auto | Hourly Truck | Percent <br> Trucks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | Left | Thru | Right | Peds |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 11:00-11:15 | 14 | 26 | 10 | 0 | 20 | 34 | 20 | 0 | 8 | 28 | 20 | 0 | 4 | 28 | 8 | 0 | 220 | 0 | 8 | 1016 | 22 | 2\% |
|  | 11:15-11:30 | 26 | 30 | 2 | 0 | 10 | 20 | 26 | 0 | 8 | 58 | 24 | 1 | 2 | 12 | 4 | 0 | 222 | 1 | 2 | 1080 | 16 | 1\% |
|  | 11:30-11:45 | 41 | 34 | 14 | 0 | 10 | 22 | 24 | 0 | 12 | 39 | 29 | 0 | 4 | 27 | 8 | 0 | 264 | 0 | 8 | 1119 | 27 | 2\% |
| MID | 11:45-12:00 | 26 | 42 | 6 | 0 | 26 | 34 | 28 | 0 | 26 | 48 | 28 | 0 | 6 | 24 | 16 | 0 | 310 | 0 | 4 | 1072 | 23 | 2\% |
| Peak | 12:00-12:15 | 32 | 25 | 6 | 0 | 34 | 31 | 26 | 0 | 22 | 26 | 28 | 0 | 8 | 34 | 12 | 0 | 284 | 0 | 2 | 1050 | 27 | 3\% |
|  | 12:15-12:30 | 13 | 39 | 2 | 0 | 30 | 30 | 34 | 0 | 14 | 26 | 20 | 0 | 2 | 37 | 14 | 0 | 261 | 0 | 13 |  |  |  |
|  | 12:30-12:45 | 23 | 15 | 2 | 0 | 35 | 20 | 23 | 0 | 7 | 44 | 13 | 0 | 5 | 22 | 8 | 0 | 217 | 0 | 4 |  |  |  |
|  | 12:45-1:00 | 12 | 20 | 4 | 0 | 46 | 49 | 34 | 0 | 10 | 48 | 22 | 0 | 2 | 23 | 18 | 0 | 288 | 0 | 8 |  |  |  |


| Total | 187 | 231 | 46 | 0 | 211 | 240 | 215 | 0 | 107 | 317 | 184 | 1 | 33 | 207 | 88 | 0 |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


|  |  | Southbound |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  | Northbound |  |  |  | Eastbound |  |  |  | Auto <br> Total | Ped <br> Total | Truck <br> Total | Hourly <br> Auto | Hourly <br> Truck | Percent <br> Trucks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | Left | Thru | Right | Peds |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PM Peak | 4:00-4:15 | 20 | 74 | 4 | 0 | 50 | 54 | 30 | 0 | 30 | 60 | 30 | 0 | 4 | 40 | 22 | 0 | 418 | 0 | 10 | 1934 | 63 | 3\% |
|  | 4:15-4:30 | 20 | 52 | 0 | 0 | 80 | 52 | 33 | 0 | 31 | 54 | 50 | 0 | 7 | 45 | 18 | 0 | 442 | 0 | 17 | 2139 | 69 | 3\% |
|  | 4:30-4:45 | 42 | 63 | 0 | 0 | 75 | 54 | 20 | 0 | 34 | 63 | 50 | 0 | 2 | 50 | 45 | 0 | 498 | 0 | 26 | 2326 | 54 | 2\% |
|  | 4:45-5:00 | 46 | 97 | 12 | 0 | 53 | 89 | 38 | 0 | 23 | 79 | 48 | 0 | 0 | 63 | 28 | 0 | 576 | 0 | 10 | 2425 | 30 | 1\% |
|  | 5:00-5:15 | 28 | 96 | 4 | 0 | 108 | 107 | 56 | 0 | 23 | 61 | 54 | 0 | 8 | 42 | 36 | 0 | 623 | 0 | 16 | 2311 | 26 | 1\% |
|  | 5:15-5:30 | 26 | 119 | 6 | 0 | 77 | 101 | 39 | 0 | 58 | 88 | 54 | 0 | 4 | 38 | 19 | 0 | 629 | 0 | 2 |  |  |  |
|  | 5:30-5:45 | 44 | 103 | 12 | 0 | 74 | 52 | 44 | 0 | 43 | 93 | 44 | 0 | 4 | 57 | 27 | 0 | 597 | 0 | 2 |  |  |  |
|  | 5:45-6:00 | 28 | 75 | 6 | 0 | 92 | 50 | 24 | 0 | 18 | 37 | 35 | 0 | 6 | 64 | 27 | 0 | 462 | 0 | 6 |  |  |  |

13th Ave. \& 6th St. E.

|  |  | Southbound |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  | Northbound |  |  |  | Eastbound |  |  |  | Auto <br> Total | Ped <br> Total | Truck <br> Total | Hourly <br> Auto | Hourly <br> Truck | Percent <br> Trucks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | Left | Thru | Right | Peds |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AM Peak | 7:30-7:45 | 10 | 7 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 69 | 8 | 0 | 15 | 10 | 32 | 0 | 9 | 142 | 5 | 0 | 315 | 0 | 7 | 1268 | 26 | 2\% |
|  | 7:45-8:00 | 6 | 10 | 6 | 1 | 4 | 62 | 18 | 0 | 12 | 15 | 34 | 1 | 15 | 181 | 9 | 0 | 372 | 2 | 7 |  |  |  |
|  | 8:00-8:15 | 16 | 25 | 3 | 0 | 7 | 48 | 7 | 0 | 12 | 9 | 28 | 0 | 4 | 179 | 16 | 0 | 354 | 0 | 6 |  |  |  |
|  | 8:15-8:30 | 4 | 5 | 7 | 0 | 9 | 49 | 4 | 0 | 11 | 11 | 14 | 0 | 6 | 101 | 6 | 0 | 227 | 0 | 6 |  |  |  |
|  | Total | 36 | 47 | 20 | 1 | 24 | 228 | 37 | 0 | 50 | 45 | 108 | 1 | 34 | 603 | 36 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |



PM

|  | Southbound |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  | Northbound |  |  |  | Eastbound |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { Auto } \\ \text { Total } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Ped } \\ & \text { Total } \end{aligned}$ | Truck <br> Total | Hourly Auto | Hourly <br> Truck | Percent <br> Trucks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | Left | Thru | Right | Peds |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4:45-5:00 | 3 | 2 | 5 | 0 | 18 | 183 | 8 | 0 | 4 | 3 | 12 | 0 | 7 | 95 | 7 | 0 | 347 | 0 | 0 | 1585 | 10 | 1\% |
| 5:00-5:15 | 1 | 10 | 3 | 0 | 30 | 227 | 13 | 0 | 3 | 4 | 18 | 0 | 8 | 90 | 9 | 0 | 416 | 0 | 3 |  |  |  |
| 5:15-5:30 | 5 | 7 | 5 | 0 | 27 | 174 | 15 | 0 | 7 | 3 | 15 | 0 | 7 | 133 | 9 | 0 | 407 | 0 | 4 |  |  |  |
| 5:30-5:45 | 9 | 4 | 7 | 0 | 27 | 170 | 10 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 20 | 0 | 5 | 143 | 14 | 0 | 415 | 0 | 3 |  |  |  |
| Total | 18 | 23 | 20 | 0 | 102 | 754 | 46 | 0 | 16 | 14 | 65 | 0 | 27 | 461 | 39 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Intersection:
Date:

## Direction (Steet)

Time Interval
13th Ave. \& 6th St. E.
September 8, 2004

| Southbound (6th St.) |  |  |  | Westbound (13th Ave.) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Left | Thru | Right | Ped | Left | Thru | Right | Pe | | Left | Thru | Right | Ped |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | d Northbound (6th St.) Ped Eastbound (13th Ave.) 7:00-7:15 am 7:15-7:30 am 7:30-7:45 am Hour Total


| $8: 00-8: 15 \mathrm{am}$ |
| ---: |
| $8: 15-8: 30 \mathrm{am}$ |
| $8: 30-8: 45 \mathrm{am}$ |
| $8: 45-9: 00 \mathrm{am}$ |
| 9:00-9:15 am |

Hour Total

| 5-9:30 am | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 7 | 60 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 81 | 2 | 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 9:30-9:45 am | 2 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 55 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 7 | 0 | 3 | 82 | 3 | 0 |
| 9:45-10:00 am | 4 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 52 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 9 | 0 | 1 | 69 | 3 | 0 |
| Hour Total | 17 | 13 | 16 | 1 | 44 | 375 | 18 | 0 | 21 | 21 | 65 | 0 | 16 | 546 | 21 | 2 |
| 10:00-10:15 am | 2 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 17 | 70 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 7 | 0 | 3 | 85 | 4 | 0 |
| 10:15-10:30 am | 5 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 49 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 2 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 63 | 2 | 0 |
| 10:30-10:45 am | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 10 | 69 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 11 | 0 | 6 | 82 | 0 | 0 |
| 10:45-11:00 am | 3 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 7 | 74 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 77 | 2 | 0 |
| Hour Total | 12 | 6 | 6 | 0 | 39 | 262 | 11 | 0 | 14 | 6 | 37 | 0 | 9 | 307 | 8 | 0 |
| 11:00-11:15 am | 0 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 74 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 6 | 0 | 1 | 83 | 4 | 0 |
| 11:15-11:30 am | 4 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 15 | 82 | 4 | 1 | 4 | 5 | 11 | 0 | 1 | 71 | 3 | 0 |
| 11:30-11:45 am | 3 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 6 | 73 | 6 | 0 | 8 | 7 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 99 | 3 | 0 |
| 11:45-12:00 pm | 3 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 14 | 89 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 8 | 1 | 2 | 104 | 3 | 0 |
| Hour Total | 10 | 14 | 5 | 2 | 40 | 318 | 15 | 1 | 16 | 16 | 39 | 1 | 4 | 357 | 13 | 0 |
| 12:00-12:15 pm | 2 | 2 | 4 | 0 | 14 | 90 | 5 | 0 | 4 | 2 | 14 | 0 | 5 | 88 | 4 | 0 |
| 12:15-12:30 pm | 5 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 13 | 111 | 4 | 0 | 5 | 3 | 8 | 0 | 3 | 95 | 5 | 0 |
| 12:30-12:45 pm | 2 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 14 | 115 | 3 | 1 | 7 | 2 | 9 | 0 | 4 | 99 | 6 | 0 |
| 12:45-1:00 pm | 3 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 13 | 108 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 4 | 86 | 2 | 2 |
| Hour Total | 12 | 8 | 14 | 0 | 54 | 424 | 14 | 1 | 18 | 7 | 37 | 0 | 16 | 368 | 17 | 2 |
| 1:00-1:15 pm | 4 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 10 | 94 | 6 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 6 | 1 | 1 | 110 | 1 | 0 |
| 1:15-1:30 pm | 4 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 79 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 9 | 0 | 1 | 83 | 4 | 0 |
| 1:30-1:45 pm | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 95 | 2 | 0 | 6 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 76 | 2 | 0 |
| 1:45-2:00 pm | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Hour Total | 8 | 3 | 7 | 0 | 17 | 268 | 10 | 0 | 12 | 4 | 20 | 1 | 2 | 269 | 7 | 0 |
| 2:00-2:15 pm | 3 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 10 | 102 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 3 | 8 | 0 | 4 | 74 | 4 | 0 |
| 2:15-2:30 pm | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 11 | 78 | 7 | 0 | 2 | 6 | 8 | 0 | 3 | 74 | 4 | 0 |
| 2:30-2:45 pm | 2 | 2 | 4 | 0 | 15 | 99 | 8 | 0 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 3 | 80 | 2 | 3 |
| 2:45-3:00 pm | 9 | 10 | 10 | 0 | 10 | 95 | 7 | 0 | 4 | 3 | 9 | 0 | 4 | 94 | 10 | 0 |
| Hour Total | 16 | 15 | 16 | 0 | 46 | 374 | 24 | 0 | 14 | 16 | 29 | 0 | 14 | 322 | 20 | 3 |
| 3:00-3:15 pm | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 7 | 86 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 14 | 0 | 2 | 101 | 5 | 0 |
| 3:15-3:30 pm | 4 | 8 | 1 | 0 | 18 | 105 | 9 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 14 | 0 | 1 | 90 | 9 | 0 |
| 3:30-3:45 pm | 4 | 11 | 4 | 0 | 20 | 147 | 6 | 0 | 9 | 9 | 15 | 0 | 4 | 89 | 3 | 1 |
| 3:45-4:00 pm | 7 | 4 | 3 | 0 | 15 | 129 | 13 | 3 | 16 | 12 | 13 | 9 | 1 | 100 | 9 | 0 |
| Hour Total | 17 | 25 | 10 | 1 | 60 | 467 | 33 | 3 | 28 | 27 | 56 | 9 | 8 | 380 | 26 | 1 |
| 4:00-4:15 pm | 4 | 2 | 5 | 0 | 13 | 145 | 6 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 8 | 0 | 3 | 102 | 4 | 1 |
| 4:15-4:30 pm | 4 | 5 | 6 | 0 | 19 | 128 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 3 | 7 | 0 | 5 | 104 | 8 | 0 |
| 4:30-4:45 pm | 6 | 5 | 3 | 0 | 15 | 156 | 8 | 0 | 4 | 5 | 14 | 0 | 4 | 135 | 6 | 0 |
| 4:45-5:00 pm | 3 | 2 | 5 | 0 | 18 | 185 | 8 | 0 | 4 | 3 | 12 | 0 | 7 | 95 | 8 | 1 |
| Hour Total | 17 | 14 | 19 | 0 | 65 | 614 | 25 | 2 | 12 | 14 | 41 | 0 | 19 | 436 | 26 | 2 |
| 5:00-5:15 pm | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:15-5:30 pm | 1 | 10 | 3 | 0 | 30 | 228 | 13 | 0 | , | 4 | 20 | 0 | 8 | 91 | 9 | 0 |
| 5:30-5:45 pm | 5 | 7 | 5 | 0 | 27 | 174 | 15 | 1 | 7 | 3 | 15 | 0 | 7 | 136 | 9 | 0 |
| 5:45-6:00 pm | 9 | 4 | 7 | 0 | 28 | 173 | 10 | 3 | 2 | 4 | 20 | 1 | 5 | 145 | 14 | 0 |
| Hour Total | 15 | 21 | 15 | 0 | 85 | 575 | 38 | 4 | 12 | 11 | 55 | 1 | 20 | 372 | 32 | 0 |
| 6:00-6:15 pm | 11 | 6 | 2 | 0 | 21 | 142 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 12 | 2 | 5 | 123 | 5 | 0 |
| 6:15-6:30 pm | 3 | 5 | 2 | 0 | 13 | 131 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 5 | 16 | 0 | 3 | 122 | 11 | 0 |
| 6:30-6:45 pm | 4 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 141 | 3 | 0 | 7 | 1 | 11 | 1 | 2 | 102 | 6 | 1 |
| 6:45-7:00 pm | 6 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 11 | 130 | 7 | 0 | 5 | 3 | 11 | 0 | 1 | 96 | 5 | 0 |
| Hour Total | 24 | 15 | 6 | 0 | 63 | 544 | 18 | 0 | 20 | 12 | 50 | 3 | 11 | 443 | 27 | 1 |

13th Ave. \& 9th St. E.

|  |  | Southbound |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  | Northbound |  |  |  | Eastbound |  |  |  | Auto <br> Total | Ped <br> Total | Truck <br> Total | Hourly <br> Auto | Hourly <br> Truck | Percent <br> Trucks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | Left | Thru | Right | Peds |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AM Peak | 7:00-7:15 | 9 | 30 | 9 | 0 | 5 | 23 | 10 | 0 | 12 | 45 | 14 | 0 | 16 | 47 | 9 | 0 | 229 | 0 | 7 | 1772 | 35 | 2\% |
|  | 7:15-7:30 | 37 | 45 | 10 | 0 | 5 | 37 | 27 | 0 | 26 | 73 | 14 | 0 | 43 | 80 | 15 | 0 | 412 | 0 | 12 | 1958 | 33 | 2\% |
|  | 7:30-7:45 | 38 | 71 | 10 | 0 | 11 | 42 | 34 | 0 | 41 | 97 | 14 | 0 | 78 | 112 | 30 | 0 | 578 | 0 | 6 | 1792 | 34 | 2\% |
|  | 7:45-8:00 | 43 | 94 | 14 | 0 | 13 | 29 | 14 | 0 | 22 | 103 | 16 | 0 | 92 | 84 | 29 | 0 | 553 | 0 | 10 | 1445 | 41 | 3\% |
|  | 8:00-8:15 | 32 | 54 | 12 | 0 | 10 | 37 | 19 | 0 | 6 | 84 | 14 | 0 | 61 | 66 | 20 | 0 | 415 | 0 | 5 | 1108 | 43 | 4\% |
|  | 8:15-8:30 | 14 | 32 | 7 | 0 | 4 | 35 | 9 | 0 | 11 | 49 | 13 | 0 | 14 | 44 | 14 | 0 | 246 | 0 | 13 |  |  |  |
|  | 8:30-8:45 | 21 | 26 | 6 | 0 | 13 | 34 | 8 | 0 | 12 | 17 | 10 | 0 | 15 | 57 | 12 | 0 | 231 | 0 | 13 |  |  |  |
|  | 8:45-9:00 | 8 | 26 | 7 | 0 | 12 | 32 | 9 | 0 | 6 | 27 | 11 | 0 | 13 | 50 | 15 | 0 | 216 | 0 | 12 |  |  |  |
|  | Total | 202 | 378 | 75 | 0 | 73 | 269 | 130 | 0 | 136 | 495 | 106 | 0 | 332 | 540 | 144 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |


|  |  | Southbound |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  | Northbound |  |  |  | Eastbound |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { Auto } \\ \text { Total } \end{array}$ | Ped <br> Total | Truck <br> Total | Hourly Auto | Hourly <br> Truck | Percent <br> Trucks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | Left | Thru | Right | Peds |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 11:00-11:15 | 23 | 32 | 14 | 0 | 12 | 57 | 30 | 0 | 6 | 29 | 22 | 0 | 6 | 60 | 8 | 0 | 299 | 0 | 2 | 1483 | 16 | 1\% |
|  | 11:15-11:30 | 20 | 47 | 23 | 0 | 18 | 55 | 22 | 0 | 11 | 48 | 13 | 0 | 12 | 64 | 17 | 0 | 350 | 0 | 8 | 1604 | 27 | 2\% |
|  | 11:30-11:45 | 55 | 53 | 13 | 0 | 16 | 69 | 31 | 0 | 11 | 50 | 10 | 0 | 14 | 87 | 19 | 0 | 428 | 0 | 4 | 1664 | 23 | 1\% |
| MID | 11:45-12:00 | 27 | 53 | 11 | 0 | 23 | 70 | 19 | 0 | 21 | 55 | 28 | 0 | 15 | 71 | 13 | 0 | 406 | 0 | 2 | 1624 | 27 | 2\% |
| Peak | 12:00-12:15 | 30 | 46 | 20 | 1 | 23 | 88 | 33 | 0 | 13 | 45 | 27 | 0 | 18 | 64 | 13 | 0 | 420 | 1 | 13 | 1618 | 25 | 2\% |
|  | 12:15-12:30 | 35 | 49 | 14 | 0 | 25 | 73 | 27 | 0 | 17 | 33 | 30 | 0 | 25 | 64 | 18 | 0 | 410 | 0 | 4 |  |  |  |
|  | 12:30-12:45 | 20 | 33 | 13 | 0 | 25 | 102 | 20 | 0 | 6 | 42 | 22 | 0 | 16 | 76 | 13 | 0 | 388 | 0 | 8 |  |  |  |
|  | 12:45-1:00 | 33 | 48 | 14 | 0 | 19 | 80 | 21 | 0 | 14 | 46 | 28 | 0 | 11 | 69 | 17 | 0 | 400 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |
|  | Total | 243 | 361 | 122 | 1 | 161 | 594 | 203 | 0 | 99 | 348 | 180 | 0 | 117 | 555 | 118 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |



## Appendix B: Traffic Signal Warrant Analysis

## TRAFFIC SIGNAL WARRANT SUMMARY

| City: | West Fargo, ND |
| ---: | :--- |
| County: | Cass <br>  <br> Major Street: |
| Minor Street: |  |

## Organization:

 Date: $\qquad$Lanes: $\qquad$ Critical Approach Speed: $\qquad$ 35

## Volume Level Criteria

1. Is the critical speed of major street traffic $>70 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}(40 \mathrm{mph})$ ?

| $\square$ Yes | $\square$ No |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\square$ Yes | $\square$ No |

If Question 1 or 2 above is answered "Yes", then use " $70 \%$ " volume level$70 \%$ 100\%

## WARRANT 1 - EIGHT-HOUR VEHICULAR VOLUME

Warrant 1 is satisfied if Condition A or Condition B is "100\%" satisfied.

| Applicable: | $\square$ Yes | $\square$ No |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Satisfied: | $\square$ Yes | $\square$ No |

Warrant is also satisfied if both Condition A and Condition B are "80\%" satisfied.
Condition A - Minimum Vehicular Volume

| 100\% Satisfied: | $\square$ Yes | $\square$ No |
| ---: | :---: | :---: |
| 80\% Satisfied: | $\square$ Yes | $\square$ No |


| (volumes in veh/hr) | Minimum Requirements (80\% Shown in Brackets) |  |  |  | Eight Highest Hours |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \dot{\circ} \mathrm{O} \text { O} \\ & \underset{\sim}{\mathrm{j}} \mathrm{i} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \dot{1} \dot{\circ} \mathrm{O} \\ & \dot{\circ} \dot{\circ} \end{aligned}$ |
| Approach Lanes | 1 |  | 2 or more |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Level | 100\% | 70\% | 100\% | 70\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Both Approaches on Major Street | $\begin{gathered} \hline 500 \\ (400) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 350 | $\begin{gathered} \hline 600 \\ (480) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 420 | 1,185 | 1,122 | 1,106 | 974 | 893 | 770 | 800 | 742 |
| Highest Approach on Minor Street | $\begin{gathered} 150 \\ (120) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 105 | $\begin{gathered} 200 \\ (160) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 140 | 67 | 78 | 82 | 111 | 62 | 170 | 59 | 116 |

Record 8 highest hours and the corresponding volumes in boxes provided. Condition is $100 \%$ satisfied if the
minimum volumes are met for eight hours. Condition is $80 \%$ satisfied if parenthetical volumes are met for eight hours.

## Condition B - Interruption of Continuous Traffic

Condition B is intended for application where the traffic volume is so heavy that traffic on the minor street suffers excessive delay.

Applicable: Excessive Delay: 100\% Satisfied: 80\% Satisfied:

Eight Highest Hours

| (volumes in veh/hr) | Minimum Requirements (80\% Shown in Brackets) |  |  |  | Eight Highest Hours |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \dot{\circ} \mathrm{O} \\ & \stackrel{\circ}{\mathrm{O}} \\ & \text { - } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \dot{\circ} \mathrm{O} \text { O} \\ & \stackrel{\ominus}{\mathrm{O}} \dot{\mathrm{O}} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \dot{\circ} \mathrm{O} \\ & \stackrel{\circ}{\mathrm{O}} \mathrm{O} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | ò oi | $\begin{aligned} & \dot{1} \dot{\circ} \mathrm{O} \\ & \dot{\infty} \dot{\circ} \end{aligned}$ |
| Approach Lanes |  |  | 2 or | ore |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Level | 100\% | 70\% | 100\% | 70\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Both Approaches on Major Street | $\begin{gathered} \hline 750 \\ (600) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 525 | $\begin{gathered} \hline \hline 900 \\ (720) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 630 | 1,185 | 1,122 | 1,106 | 974 | 893 | 770 | 800 | 742 |
| Highest Approach on Minor Street | $\begin{gathered} \hline 75 \\ (60) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 53 | $\begin{aligned} & 100 \\ & (80) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 70 | 67 | 78 | 82 | 111 | 62 | 170 | 59 | 116 |

Record 8 highest hours and the corresponding volumes in boxes provided. Condition is $100 \%$ satisfied if the
minimum volumes are met for eight hours. Condition is $80 \%$ satisfied if parenthetical volumes are met for eight hours.
Sources: Revised from Florida DOT's Traffic Signal Warrant Summary (Form 750-020-01)
NCHRP Report 457, 2001
Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices 2003 (July 21, 2004)

## TRAFFIC SIGNAL WARRANT SUMMARY



Sources: Revised from Florida DOT's Traffic Signal Warrant Summary (Form 750-020-01) NCHRP Report 457, 2001
Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices 2003 (July 21, 2004)

## TRAFFIC SIGNAL WARRANT SUMMARY

| City: | West Fargo, ND |
| ---: | :--- |
| County: | Cass |
| Major Street: |  |

Organization: Date:
$\qquad$
Advanced Traffic Analysis Center September 8, 2004
Lanes: $\qquad$ 1
Lanes:
$\qquad$ Critical Approach Speed: 35

## Volume Level Criteria

1. Is the critical speed of major street traffic $>70 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}(40 \mathrm{mph}) ?$

| $\square$ Yes | $\square$ No |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\square$ Yes | $\square$ No |

If Question 1 or 2 above is answered "Yes", then use " $70 \%$ " volume level100\%

## WARRANT 3 - PEAK HOUR

If all three criteria are fullfilled (Condition A) or the plotted point lies above the Applicable: $\checkmark$ Yes Satisfied:Yes appropriate line (Condition $B)$,then the warrant is satisfed.

Use the middle curve of Figure 4C-3
Unusual condition justifying use of warrant:
High-occupancy dwelling units

Record hour when criteria are fulfilled and the corresponding delay or volume in boxes provided.

| Peak Hour |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $7: 30$ | - | $8: 30$ |

## Criteria

| 1. Delay on Minor Approach <br> $*$ (vehicle-hours) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Approach Lanes | 1 | 2 |  |
| Delay Criteria* | 4.0 | 5.0 |  |
| Delay* |  | 0.8 |  |
| Fulfilled?: | $\square$ | Yes |  |



* Note: 150 vph applies as the lower threshold volume for a minor street approach with two or more lanes and 100 vph applies as the lower threshold volume threshold for a minor street approach with one lane.

* Note: 100 vph applies as the lower threshold volume for a minor street approach with two or more lanes and 75 vph applies as the lower threshold volume threshold for a minor street approach with one lane.


## TRAFFIC SIGNAL WARRANT SUMMARY

| City: | West Fargo, ND |
| ---: | :--- |
| County: | Cass <br>  <br> Major Street: |
| Minor Street: |  |


| Organization: | Advanced Traffic Analysis Center |
| ---: | ---: |
| Date: | September 8, 2004 |

Lanes: $\frac{\mathbf{2}}{\text { Lanes: }} \underline{1}+$

Critical Approach Speed: $\qquad$ 35

## WARRANT 4 - PEDESTRIAN VOLUME

Record hours where criteria are fulfilled and the corresponding volume or gap
frequency in the boxes provided. The warrant is satisfied if condition 1 or 2 is fulfilled and condition 3 is fulfilled.

| Criteria | Hour |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \hline \text { Pedestrian } \\ \text { Volume } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \hline \text { Pedestrian } \\ \text { Gaps } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Fulfilled? |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Yes |  | No |
| 1. Pedestrian volume crossing the major street is | 3:00 PM | 4:00 PM |  | 10 | 0 |  | X |
| $100 \mathrm{ped} / \mathrm{hr}$ or more for each of any four hours | 7:00 AM | 8:00 AM | 6 | 2 |  | X |
| and there are less than 60 gaps/hour in the | 8:00 AM | 9:00 AM | 5 | 4 |  | X |
| major street traffic stream of adequate length. | 11:00 AM | 12:00 PM | 3 | 0 |  | X |
| 2. Pedestrian volume crossing the major street is $190 \mathrm{ped} / \mathrm{hr}$ or more for any one hour and there are less than 60 gaps/hour in the major street traffic stream of adequate length. | 3:00 PM |  | 4:00 PM |  |  | X |
| 3. The nearest traffic signal along the major street is located more than $90 \mathrm{~m}(300 \mathrm{ft})$ away, or the nearest signal is within 90 m ( 300 ft ) but the proposed traffic signal will not restrict the progressive movement of traffic. |  |  |  |  | X |  |

## WARRANT 5 - SCHOOL CROSSING

| Applicable: | $\square$ Yes | $\square$ No |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Satisfied: | $\square$ Yes | $\square$ No |

Record hours where criteria are fulfilled and the corresponding volume or gap frequency in the boxes provided. The warrant is satisfied if all three of the criteria are fulfilled.


## WARRANT 6 - COORDINATED SIGNAL SYSTEM

Indicate if the criteria are fulfilled in the boxes provided. The warrant is satisfied if either criterion is fulfilled. This warrant should not be applied when the resulting signal spacing would be less than 300 m (1,000 ft).

Applicable: $\checkmark$ Yes
Satisfied:
No No

| Criteria | Fulfilled? |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | Yes | No |
| 1. On a one-way street or a street that has traffic predominately in one direction, the adjacent signals are <br> so far apart that they do not provide the necessary degree of vehicle platooning. |  | X |
| 2. On a two-way street, adjacent signals do not provide the necessary degree of platooning, and <br> the proposed and adjacent signals will collectively provide a progressive operation. | X |  |

Sources: Revised from Florida DOT's Traffic Signal Warrant Summary (Form 750-020-01)
NCHRP Report 457, 2001
Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices 2003 (July 21, 2004)

## TRAFFIC SIGNAL WARRANT SUMMARY

| City: | West Fargo, ND |
| ---: | :--- |
| County: | Cass <br>  <br> Major Street: |
| Minor Street: |  |

Organization:
Date:
Advanced Traffic Analysis Center
September 8, 2004

| Lanes: | $\mathbf{2}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Lanes: | $\mathbf{1}$ |

Critical Approach Speed: $\qquad$

WARRANT 7 - CRASH EXPERIENCE
Record hours where criteria are fulfilled, the corresponding volume, and other $\begin{array}{ccc}\text { Applicable: } & \square \text { Yes } & \square \text { No } \\ \text { Satisfied: } & \square \text { Yes } & \square \text { No }\end{array}$
information in the boxes provided. The warrant is satisfied if all three of the criteria are fulfilled.


## WARRANT 8 - ROADWAY NETWORK

Record hours where criteria are fulfilled, and the corresponding volume or other information in the boxes provided. The warrant is satisfied if at least one of the criteria is fulfilled and if all intersecting routes have one or more of the characteristics listed.


| Characteristics of Major Routes |  | Met? |  | Fulfilled? |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Yes | No | Yes | No |
| 1. Part of the street or highway system that serves as the principal roadway network for through traffic flow. | Major Street: |  |  |  |  |
|  | Minor Street: |  |  |  |  |
| 2. Rural or suburban highway outside of, entering, or traversing a city. | Major Street: |  |  |  |  |
|  | Minor Street: |  |  |  |  |
| 3. Appears as a major route on an official plan. | Major Street: |  |  |  |  |
|  | Minor Street: |  |  |  |  |

## CONCLUSIONS

Warrants Satisfied:


Remarks: The number of acceptable gaps crossing 13th Ave. are very minimal due to the dispersed platoons from 9th St. E. and Sheyenne St. The average gap time (combined) for the AM, Mid-day, and PM peaks are $4-5,4-5$, and $2-3$ sec., respectively. A signal would assist in the progressive operations of the corridor.

