

www.ugpti.org - www.atacenter.org

# 13<sup>th</sup> Ave. & 6<sup>th</sup> St. E. Intersection Analysis (West Fargo, ND)

**Final Report** 

November 2004

Prepared for: City of West Fargo, ND

Prepared by: Advanced Traffic Analysis Center Upper Great Plains Transportation Institute North Dakota State University Fargo, North Dakota

#### BACKGROUND

The 13<sup>th</sup> Ave. corridor in West Fargo has experienced dramatic growth over the past several years. Most of the growth has occurred on the south side of 13<sup>th</sup> Ave. between 17<sup>th</sup> St. E. and Sheyenne St. The roadway is primarily classified as a minor arterial in West Fargo and a principal arterial in Fargo and serves many high-density dwelling units and commercial facilities.

Many dwelling units, including single family dwellings and apartment complexes continue to be constructed along the corridor and this growth trend is expected to continue. A direct result of increased development is increased traffic volumes, especially along arterials. Currently, traffic is uninterrupted along 13<sup>th</sup> Ave. between Sheyenne St. and 9<sup>th</sup> St. E., which is approximately one mile in length. Concerns have been raised about pedestrian safety due to the constant traffic flow along 13<sup>th</sup> Ave.

The study area spans 13<sup>th</sup> Ave. S. from Sheyenne St. to 9<sup>th</sup> St. E., however, the 6<sup>th</sup> St. E. intersection is the primary area of interest. Sixth St. E. is almost halfway between the Sheyenne St. and 9<sup>th</sup> St. E. and provides direct access from the south side of 13<sup>th</sup> Ave. to several facilities on the north side, such as a soccer complex and two elementary schools: Eastwood and Berger.

#### **Traffic Control**

Three intersections were analyzed along the study area, which include Sheyenne St., 6<sup>th</sup> St. E., and 9<sup>th</sup> St. E. (note Figure 1) . Sheyenne St. and 9<sup>th</sup> St. E. operate under actuated-uncoordinated signal control, while 6<sup>th</sup> St. E. operates under two-way stop control (TWSC).

#### **Traffic Volumes**

Traffic volumes along the 13<sup>th</sup> Ave. S. corridor increase from west to east (*1*). Based on traffic counts from the year 2000, the average daily traffic (ADT) on 13<sup>th</sup> Ave. S. ranges from 4,900 to 13,800 vehicles (Sheyenne St. to 9<sup>th</sup> St. E.). However, the traffic volumes between the two intersections range from 10,700 and 11,500. Although 13<sup>th</sup> Ave. is a truck route, the heavy vehicle percentages are low along the western part of the corridor (1 - 4% of the intersection traffic volume) since the area is primarily residential with some office and commercial facilities.

#### **Roadway Geometry**

13<sup>th</sup> Ave. consists of two through lanes for each direction and provides left-turn lane at intersecting side streets. A median separates the opposing traffic between the intersections of 6<sup>th</sup> St. E. to 9<sup>th</sup> St. E., while a two-way left turn lane (TWLTL) is used between 6<sup>th</sup> St. E. and Sheyenne St. The intersections of Sheyenne St. and 9<sup>th</sup> St. E. have left-turn lanes for every approach and right-turn lanes are common. However, 6<sup>th</sup> St. E. has one lane of travel for the north and south approaches.



Figure 1. Analysis Corridor

#### **OBJECTIVES**

The Advanced Traffic Analysis Center (ATAC) was asked to evaluate the current traffic operations of the intersection of 13<sup>th</sup> Ave. and 6<sup>th</sup> St. E. and to determine the impacts that a signal installation would have on the intersection and 13<sup>th</sup> Ave. The City of West Fargo would like to install a traffic signal at 6<sup>th</sup> St. E.; however, they request assistance from ATAC in determining if the signal is justified.

The major tasks for this study were to perform a signal warrant analysis at 6<sup>th</sup> St. E. and a simulation analysis that evaluated different control strategies and traffic volumes along the analysis corridor. The traffic signal warrant analysis was based on a 12-hour count conducted on September 8, 2004. Several traffic simulation scenarios were compared for the AM and PM peak periods to determine the effects of incorporating a traffic signal at 6<sup>th</sup> St. E.

#### DATA COLLECTION

Several types of traffic data were collected to perform the signal warrant analysis and traffic simulation analysis. Geometric data and the existing signal timing plans were provided by the City of West Fargo. Traffic volume information was obtained by the City of West Fargo and the Fargo-Moorhead Council of Governments (F-M COG).

#### **Geometric Data**

Accurate data related to the roadway's geometry are necessary to portray a realistic view of the transportation network. Insufficient geometric data may cause erroneous output and recommendations. For example, inaccurate link lengths would cause incorrect offsets for the signal coordination. Information related to the road network was obtained from aerial photos and AutoCAD drawings.

#### **Traffic Control Data**

The existing signal timing plans were obtained to evaluate the corridor's current performance. Currently, the traffic signals at Sheyenne St. and 9<sup>th</sup> St. E. operate as actuated-uncoordinated. Actuated input values were used to more accurately simulate the signal controller operation. This data served as a baseline for comparing proposed timing strategies.

#### **Traffic Volume Data**

Peak-hour turning movement counts were performed at Sheyenne St. and 9<sup>th</sup> St. E. by the City of West Fargo and the Fargo-Moorhead Council of Governments (F-M COG). In Addition, F-M COG performed a 12-hour turning movement count at 6<sup>th</sup> St. E and included vehicles and pedestrians. All of the traffic data were gathered on September 8, 2004 and are illustrated in Appendix A.

#### TRAFFIC SIGNAL WARRANT ANALYSIS

A traffic signal warrant analysis was performed at the intersection of 13<sup>th</sup> Ave. and 6<sup>th</sup> St. E. The 2003 Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices (MUTCD) was used for the warrant analysis, which has the same signal warrant information as the 2000 MUTCD. The MUTCD currently has eight traffic signal warrants to evaluate an intersection; however, six of the warrants were analyzed for this study and include the following (*2*):

- Warrant 1, Eight-Hour Vehicular Volume
- Warrant 2, Four-Hour Vehicular Volume
- Warrant 3, Peak Hour
- Warrant 4, Pedestrian Volume
- Warrant 5, School Crossing
- Warrant 6, Coordinated Signal System

The remaining two warrants were not evaluated since they were either not applicable or the required data was not available. Appendix B illustrates the results of the 6 warrants analyzed, while the next section discusses warrants 4, 5 and 6, which had the highest potential for being met.

#### Warrant 4, Pedestrian Volume

The pedestrian volume warrant takes into account the number of pedestrians crossing the roadway as well as the number of gaps (time needed for pedestrians to cross the street). The gap time is calculated based on road width, pedestrian walking speed, and reaction time. In terms of adequate gap time, at least 60 gaps/hour are required. With the two travel lanes in each direction along with a left-turn lane, pedestrians must travel across five lanes having a distance of approximately 70 ft. Using the 70 ft road width, a walking speed of 3.5 fps, and a 3.0 second reaction time, the gap time for this study was 23 seconds. Due to the steady traffic flow along 13<sup>th</sup> Ave. and lack of platoons from neighboring intersections, very few allowable gaps were observed during the peak periods. The AM, mid-day, and PM peaks provided six, five, and four gaps, respectively.

The second criterion for Warrant 4 is pedestrian volume. Pedestrian volumes crossing 13<sup>th</sup> Ave. were fairly low. The highest hour for pedestrian activity (3:00 - 4:00 PM) had 10 pedestrians crossing 13<sup>th</sup> Ave. To satisfy the signal warrant, 100 ped/hour must cross the major street for any 4 hours or experience 190 ped/hr for any one hour. Therefore, Warrant 4 is not met due to the low pedestrian volume even though the gap criterion was met.

#### Warrant 5, School Crossing

The school crossing warrant requires a minimum of 20 students crossing the major street per hour and as many gaps as minutes when children are using the crossing. Six students were observed crossing 13<sup>th</sup> Ave. during the AM peak (7:00 - 8:00 AM). Therefore, the warrant is not satisfied based on student activity. It should be noted that only 2 gaps were observed during the same hour (7:00 - 8:00 AM),

#### Warrant 6, Coordinated Signal System

The coordinated signal system warrant is satisfied on a two-way street when the adjacent signals do not provide effective platooning and the proposed signal will benefit the adjacent signals by providing progressive operations. As previously mentioned, 6<sup>th</sup> St. E. is almost at the halfway point of the mile section between Sheyenne St. and 9<sup>th</sup> Ave. E. The platoons of vehicles were already showing signs of dispersion as they reached 6<sup>th</sup> St. E. Therefore, the platoons are severely dispersed as they reach the adjacent signal. The current platoon dispersion and other side-street traffic entering 13<sup>th</sup> Ave. creates minimal gaps at 6<sup>th</sup> St. E. In fact, the average gap time for crossing 13<sup>th</sup> Ave. during the AM, mid-day, and PM peak periods was 4-5, 4-5, and 2-3 seconds, respectively (the gap study program creates bins for storing information, e.g., a 4.5 sec gap is stored in 4-5 sec bin). A signal at 6<sup>th</sup> St. E. would provide better platoons to 9<sup>th</sup> St. E. and Sheyenne St. when combined to form a coordinated system. Based on this benefit, Warrant 6 is satisfied for 13<sup>th</sup> Ave. and 6<sup>th</sup> St. E.

Satisfying one traffic signal warrant doesn't necessarily mean that a signal should be installed at the intersection. However, access management and safety play a role in an agency's decision to implement a traffic signal. In terms of access management, many agencies recommend spacing traffic signals every ½ mile along arterials so a traffic signal at 6th St. E. is appropriate in terms of location. In addition, the traffic signal would provide pedestrians, especially school children, with a safe location to cross 13<sup>th</sup> Ave.

#### TRAFFIC SIMULATION

Traffic simulation models allow practitioners to evaluate different scenarios prior to field implementation. This study used the CORSIM simulation model, a microscopic stochastic simulation model, which was developed for the Federal Highway Administration (*3*). CORSIM provides numerical and visual output to assess the operational conditions of a transportation network, such as queue lengths and delay time. Several simulation scenarios were compared for the AM and PM peak periods to determine the effects of incorporating a traffic signal at 6<sup>th</sup> St. E. Since the south side of 13<sup>th</sup> Ave. continues to be developed, a sensitivity analysis with potential traffic volumes was performed using the existing and future traffic control. Existing traffic control represents the two traffic signals at Sheyenne St. and 9<sup>th</sup> St. E. and a two-way stop sign control at 6<sup>th</sup> St. E. For the future control, a signal was added at 6<sup>th</sup> St. E. and the 3 intersections operated under coordinated operations. Both the AM and PM scenarios used a 90-second cycle length with differing splits and offsets. The scenarios analyzed are summarized as follows:

- Traffic Volume Existing conditions; 25%, 50%, 75%, and 100% growth for the NB approach of 6<sup>th</sup> St. E.
- Traffic Control Existing conditions (actuated-uncoordinated operations) and future conditions (signal at 6<sup>th</sup> St. E. and actuated-coordinated operations)

The input parameters for CORSIM included the intersection's geometry, turning movement counts, and traffic control. Each scenario was simulated 30 times to represent a normal distribution and had a one-hour duration. It also should be noted that the simulations were "seeded" with traffic for 5 minutes before accumulating the numerical output.

#### **Simulation Output**

The numerical output extracted from CORSIM pertained to delay time. Delay time was summarized for the critical approach of 6<sup>th</sup> St. E. (northbound), the east-west links of 13<sup>th</sup> Ave., and the overall network. Tables 1 and 2 illustrate the delay time and percent change for the various scenarios during the AM and PM peak periods. The delay time calculated by CORSIM includes the delay encountered at a signal or stop sign (control delay) as well as vehicle interaction or car following delay.

AM Peak	Netw Delay (veh	ork icle-min)	13th A Delay (vehi	ve. icle-min)	6th St. E. NB Delay (sec	Approach /vehicle)
Traffic Growth	Existing	Future	Existing	Future	Existing	Future
09/	1374.6	1872.6	683.3	715.6	10.7	21.7
<b>U</b> %	36.2	.%	4.7%	6	103.6	5%
259/	1414.9	1918.1	697.7	747.0	11.2	21.6
23%	35.6	%	7.1%	6	92.5	%
E0%	1457.1	1944.8	726.8	762.7	12.0	21.5
50%	33.5	%	4.9%	6	79.5	%
750/	1487.8	2010.3	730.4	809.3	13.1	21.4
15%	35.1	%	10.8	%	63.5	%
100%	1557.3	2039.5	764.7	822.8	15.6	21.4
100%	31.0	%	7.6%	6	37.6	%

Table 1. Simulation delay time during the AM peak period.

PM Peak	Netw Delay (veh	ork icle-min)	13th / Delay (veh	Ave. nicle-min)	6th St. E. NB Delay (sec	Approach /vehicle)
Traffic Growth	Existing	Future	Existing	Future	Existing	Future
09/	2145.8	2527.2	1190.7	1178.1	12.3	27.1
0%	17.8	%	-1.1	%	120.9	9%
25%	2157.7	2587.3	1202.2	1199.8	12.5	26.7
2370	19.9	%	-0.2	2%	113.9	9%
E0%	2195.5	2622.9	1222.0	1238.5	13.2	24.4
50%	19.5	%	1.4	%	84.6	%
750/	2207.3	2654.8	1230.3	1263.4	13.0	22.5
15%	20.3	%	2.7	%	72.6	%
100%	2227.1	2679.1	1242.1	1287.5	13.5	22.8
100%	20.3	%	3.7	%	68.9	%

Table 2. Simulation delay time during the PM peak period.

#### AM Peak Period

The implementation of a traffic signal at 6<sup>th</sup> St. E. created over two times the delay for the northbound approach. The existing conditions with TWSC creates an average delay time of 10.7 seconds per vehicle for all movements while the future condition with a signal creates average delay of 21.7 sec/veh. As traffic increases for 6<sup>th</sup> St. E., the negative delay impacts of the signal installation decrease. Although the delay time is higher for the signalized scenarios, the motorist's level of service (LOS) is similar. Level of service can be defined as a qualitative measure of operational performance, which may consist of travel time, freedom to maneuver, comfort, and convenience. Motorists can experience anxiety at a stop sign while turning onto or crossing the major street since they need to judge an acceptable gap. This phenomenon adversely affects LOS and is not a factor under signalized control.

Thirteenth Ave. was not significantly affected by implementing a traffic signal at  $6^{th}$  St. E. The additional signal created less than 4.7% more delay time with the existing traffic volume. The other traffic scenarios create delay time increases ranging from 4.9 - 10.8%. Although the additional signal would cause some traffic on  $13^{th}$  Ave. to stop at  $6^{th}$  St. E., the delay time increase for  $13^{th}$  Ave. is not substantial. This can be explained by the implementation of coordinated signal plans between the three signals rather than the current uncoordinated operation. In addition, the demand on  $6^{th}$  St. E. is not large enough to require a high amount of green time so most of the available green time is used by  $13^{th}$  Ave. traffic.

The total network delay ranged from 31.0 - 36.2% when comparing the two traffic control scenarios. The additional signal increases network delay; however, the process of coordinating the traffic signals also may increase network delay. Coordination provides progression on the major street which reduces delay for the major street. As a result, the minor-street approaches will experience higher delay since traffic has to wait longer to cross or access the major street.

#### PM Peak Period

Similar to the AM peak, the implementation of a traffic signal at 6<sup>th</sup> St. E. created over two times the delay for the northbound approach, which equates to about 15 sec/veh. As traffic growth increases for 6<sup>th</sup> St. E., the negative delay impacts of the signal installation decreases, creating about an additional 10 seconds of delay per vehicle.

The additional traffic signal and the coordinated operations of 13<sup>th</sup> Ave. caused minor delay time impacts. The current traffic levels and the 25% growth scenario actually reduced delay along 13<sup>th</sup> Ave. while the 50 - 100% growth scenarios experienced minor delay increases. These outcomes are a result of higher traffic volumes along 13<sup>th</sup> Ave. during the PM peak which receive the benefits of signal coordination.

The total network delay increase ranged from 17.8 - 20.3% when comparing the two traffic control scenarios. As previously discussed, this increase is due to adding a signal at 6<sup>th</sup> St. E. and providing coordinated operation to 13<sup>th</sup> Ave.

#### SUMMARY

This study evaluated the current traffic operations of the intersection of 13<sup>th</sup> Ave. and 6<sup>th</sup> St. E. Although the intersection does not have high side-street traffic volumes, the gaps for accessing 13<sup>th</sup> Ave. are minimal, especially for pedestrians. The results of the traffic signal warrant analysis determined that Warrant 6: Coordinated Signal System was satisfied. The signal would benefit the adjacent signals by providing progressive operations. In addition, the signal would provide a safe crossing for pedestrians that otherwise would not exist along the mile section between Sheyenne St. and 9<sup>th</sup> St. E.

The simulation analysis provided insight to the effects of adding the traffic signal under various traffic levels for 6<sup>th</sup> St. E. For the AM and PM peak periods, delay time for 6<sup>th</sup> St. E. increased under signal control; however, the increase was typically only 10 - 15 seconds per vehicle. Delay increases along 13<sup>th</sup> Ave. were minimal due to the improved traffic flow under coordinated signal operations.

Based on the signal warrant and traffic simulation analyses, a traffic signal installation at 6<sup>th</sup> St. E. is recommended. The increased delay for motorists at 6<sup>th</sup> St. E. would be offset by the benefits of increased pedestrian safety for those crossing 13<sup>th</sup> Ave., decreased driver anxiety when accessing 13<sup>th</sup> Ave., and coordinated signal operations along 13<sup>th</sup> Ave.

#### REFERENCES

- 1. Fargo-Moorhead Metropolitan Council of Governments, 2000 Urban Area Traffic Count Map, Fargo, ND.
- 2. Federal Highway Administration U.S. Department of Transportation, *Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices*, 2003 Edition, Washington D.C., July 2004.
- 3. ITT Industries Inc., *Traffic Software Integrated System Version 5.1, Build 9: User's Guide*, Colorado Springs, CO, 1995-2003.

Appendix A: Traffic Data

## 13th Ave. & Sheyenne St.

		5	South	boun	d		Westl	oound	2		North	bound	k		Eastb	bound		Auto	Ped	Truck	Hourly	Hourly	Percent
		Left	Thru	Right	Peds	Total	Total	Total	Auto	Truck	Trucks												
	7:00 - 7:15	8	41	1	0	18	7	9	0	14	46	15	0	3	25	17	0	204	0	7	1361	35	3%
	7:15 - 7:30	15	40	1	0	31	15	10	0	12	59	39	0	5	28	21	0	276	0	12	1641	33	2%
	7:30 - 7:45	20	53	1	0	37	25	12	0	20	71	35	0	5	66	34	0	379	0	6	1743	34	2%
AM	7:45 - 8:00	24	61	2	0	48	22	23	0	45	74	79	0	8	60	56	2	502	2	10	1636	41	3%
Peak	8:00 - 8:15	40	64	0	0	48	20	22	0	35	44	94	0	4	68	45	0	484	0	5	1424	43	3%
	8:15 - 8:30	18	78	6	0	18	24	12	0	16	58	49	0	14	58	27	0	378	0	13			
	8:30 - 8:45	17	44	2	0	42	17	10	0	27	32	28	0	10	20	23	0	272	0	13			
	8:45 - 9:00	20	47	0	0	43	20	18	0	23	34	31	0	12	24	18	1	290	1	12			
	Total	162	428	13	0	285	150	116	0	192	418	370	0	61	349	241	3						
		5	South	boun	d		Westl	oounc	ł		North	bound	b		Eastb	oound		Auto	Ped	Truck	Hourly	Hourly	Percent
		Left	Thru	Right	Peds	Total	Total	Total	Auto	Truck	Trucks												
	11:00 - 11:15	14	26	10	0	20	34	20	0	8	28	20	0	4	28	8	0	220	0	8	1016	22	2%
	11:15 - 11:30	26	30	2	0	10	20	26	0	8	58	24	1	2	12	4	0	222	1	2	1080	16	1%
	11:30 - 11:45	41	34	14	0	10	22	24	0	12	39	29	0	4	27	8	0	264	0	8	1119	27	2%
MID	11:45 - 12:00	26	42	6	0	26	34	28	0	26	48	28	0	6	24	16	0	310	0	4	1072	23	2%
Peak	12:00 - 12:15	32	25	6	0	34	31	26	0	22	26	28	0	8	34	12	0	284	0	2	1050	27	3%
	12:15 - 12:30	13	39	2	0	30	30	34	0	14	26	20	0	2	37	14	0	261	0	13			
	12:30 - 12:45	23	15	2	0	35	20	23	0	7	44	13	0	5	22	8	0	217	0	4			
	12:45 - 1:00	12	20	4	0	46	49	34	0	10	48	22	0	2	23	18	0	288	0	8			
	Total	187	231	46	0	211	240	215	0	107	317	184	1	33	207	88	0						
																		I					
		5	South	boun	d		West	oounc	ł		North	bound	k		Eastb	bound		Auto	Ped	Truck	Hourly	Hourly	Percent
		Left	Thru	Right	Peds	Total	Total	Total	Auto	Truck	Trucks												
	4:00 - 4:15	20	74	4	0	50	54	30	0	30	60	30	0	4	40	22	0	418	0	10	1934	63	3%
	4:15 - 4:30	20	52	0	0	80	52	33	0	31	54	50	0	7	45	18	0	442	0	17	2139	69	3%
	4:30 - 4:45	42	63	0	0	75	54	20	0	34	63	50	0	2	50	45	0	498	0	26	2326	54	2%
PM	4:45 - 5:00	46	97	12	0	53	89	38	0	23	79	48	0	0	63	28	0	576	0	10	2425	30	1%
Peak	5:00 - 5:15	28	96	4	0	108	107	56	0	23	61	54	0	8	42	36	0	623	0	16	2311	26	1%
	5:15 - 5:30	26	119	6	0	77	101	39	0	58	88	54	0	4	38	19	0	629	0	2			
	5:30 - 5:45	44	103	12	0	74	52	44	0	43	93	44	0	4	57	27	0	597	0	2			
	5:45 - 6:00	28	75	6	0	92	50	24	0	18	37	35	0	6	64	27	0	462	0	6			
	Total	254	679	44	0	609	559	284	0	260	535	365	0	35	399	222	0						
				•				-	-						-			1					

### 13th Ave. & 6th St. E.

		0,	South	boun	d		Westb	bound			North	bound	ł		Eastb	ound		Auto	Ped	Truck	Hourly	Hourly	Percent
_		Left	Thru	Right	Peds	Total	Total	Total	Auto	Truck	Trucks												
	7:30 - 7:45	10	7	4	0	4	69	8	0	15	10	32	0	9	142	5	0	315	0	7	1268	26	2%
AM	7:45 - 8:00	6	10	6	1	4	62	18	0	12	15	34	1	15	181	9	0	372	2	7			
Peak	8:00 - 8:15	16	25	3	0	7	48	7	0	12	9	28	0	4	179	16	0	354	0	6			
	8:15 - 8:30	4	5	7	0	9	49	4	0	11	11	14	0	6	101	6	0	227	0	6			
	Total	36	47	20	1	24	228	37	0	50	45	108	1	34	603	36	0						

			South	boun	d		West	ound			North	bound	k		East	ound		Auto	Ped	Truck	Hourly	Hourly	Percent
		Left	Thru	Right	Peds	Left	Thru	Right	Peds	Left	Thru	Right	Peds	Left	Thru	Right	Peds	Total	Total	Total	Auto	Truck	Trucks
	11:30 - 11:45	5	4	1	0	13	111	4	0	4	3	8	0	3	93	5	0	254	0	4	976	20	2%
MID	11:45 - 12:00	2	1	5	0	14	114	3	0	6	2	9	0	4	96	6	0	262	0	5			
Peak	12:00 - 12:15	3	0	3	0	13	103	2	0	2	0	6	0	4	83	1	0	220	0	10			
	12:15 - 12:30	4	1	3	0	10	93	6	0	3	2	6	1	1	110	1	0	240	1	1			
	Total	14	6	12	0	50	421	15	0	15	7	29	1	12	382	13	0				1		

		0,	South	boun	d		Westb	bound			North	bound	k		Eastb	ound		Auto	Ped	Truck	Hourly	Hourly	Percent
_		Left	Thru	Right	Peds	Total	Total	Total	Auto	Truck	Trucks												
	4:45 - 5:00	3	2	5	0	18	183	8	0	4	3	12	0	7	95	7	0	347	0	0	1585	10	1%
PM	5:00 - 5:15	1	10	3	0	30	227	13	0	3	4	18	0	8	90	9	0	416	0	3			
Peak	5:15 - 5:30	5	7	5	0	27	174	15	0	7	3	15	0	7	133	9	0	407	0	4			
	5:30 - 5:45	9	4	7	0	27	170	10	0	2	4	20	0	5	143	14	0	415	0	3			
	Total	18	23	20	0	102	754	46	0	16	14	65	0	27	461	39	0						

#### Intersection: 13th Ave. & 6th St. E. Date:

September 8, 2004

Direction (Steet)	So	uthbou	nd (6th	St.)	Wes	tbound	(13th A	ve.)	No	rthbou	nd (6th S	St.)	Eas	tbound	(13th A	ve.)
Time Interval	L eft	Thru	Right	Ped	Left	Thru	Right	Ped	Left	Thru	Right	Ped	L eft	Thru	Right	Ped
7:00 - 7:15 am	1	0	A	0	3	31	1	0	7	3	8	0	2	/7	2	0
7:15 - 7:30 am	1	2	4 0	0	2	20	2	0	0	5	10	0	6	47	2	0
7:30 7:45 em	10	- 2	0	0	5	70	10	0	9	10	10	0	0	90	7	0
7:30 - 7:43 am	10	1	4	0	C d	70	10	0	10	10	32	<u> </u>	9	143	1	2
7:45 - 8:00 am	6	10	/	3	4	63	18	0	13	15	35	1	15	181	11	3
Hour I otal	18	19	23	3	15	202	32	0	44	33	93	3	32	466	23	5
8:00 - 8:15 am	16	25	3	3	9	49	7	0	12	9	28	1	4	182	16	0
8:15 - 8:30 am	4	5	7	1	9	58	4	0	11	11	14	0	6	101	6	1
8:30 - 8:45 am	3	0	2	0	5	68	3	0	4	1	15	0	2	71	3	0
8:45 - 9:00 am	2	0	2	0	7	48	3	0	1	1	9	0	1	77	3	1
9:00 - 9:15 am	1	3	2	0	3	34	0	0	0	3	6	0	3	65	1	0
Hour Total	10	8	13	1	24	208	10	0	16	16	44	0	12	314	13	2
9:15 - 9:30 am	1	0	1	0	7	60	3	0	2	1	5	0	0	81	2	0
9:30 - 9:45 am	2	2	2	0	4	55	3	0	2	2	7	0	3	82	3	0
9:45 - 10:00 am	4	3	0	0	9	52	2	0	1	2	9	0	1	69	3	0
Hour Total	17	13	16	1	44	375	18	0	21	21	65	0	16	546	21	2
10:00 - 10:15 am	2	1	2	0	17	70	2	0	4	1	7	0	3	85	4	0
10:15 - 10:30 am	5	3	1	0	5	<u>10</u>	1	0	4	2	, Q	0	0	63	2	0
10:30 - 10:45 am	2	0	1	0	10	69	4	0	2	2	11	0	6	82	0	0
10.30 - 10.43 dill	2	2	2	0	7	7/	-+ ⊿	0	∠ ∧	1	10	0	0	77	2	0
10.45 - 11:00 am	ა 10	<u>ک</u>	∠ ۶	0	/	262	4	0	4	e	1U 27	0	0	207	2	0
	12	0	0	0	<u> </u>	202	- 11	0	14	0	31	0	9	307	0	U
11:00 - 11:15 am	0	3	1	2	5	74	0	0	3	3	6	0	1	83	4	0
11:15 - 11:30 am	4	2	1	0	15	82	4	1	4	5	11	0	1	/1	3	0
11:30 - 11:45 am	3	5	1	0	6	73	6	0	8	7	14	0	0	99	3	0
11:45 - 12:00 pm	3	4	2	0	14	89	5	0	1	1	8	1	2	104	3	0
Hour Total	10	14	5	2	40	318	15	1	16	16	39	1	4	357	13	0
12:00 - 12:15 pm	2	2	4	0	14	90	5	0	4	2	14	0	5	88	4	0
12:15 - 12:30 pm	5	5	1	0	13	111	4	0	5	3	8	0	3	95	5	0
12:30 - 12:45 pm	2	1	5	0	14	115	3	1	7	2	9	0	4	99	6	0
12:45 - 1:00 pm	3	0	4	0	13	108	2	0	2	0	6	0	4	86	2	2
Hour Total	12	8	14	0	54	424	14	1	18	7	37	0	16	368	17	2
1:00 - 1:15 pm	4	1	3	0	10	94	6	0	3	2	6	1	1	110	1	0
1:15 - 1:30 pm	4	2	3	0	2	79	2	0	3	1	9	0	1	83	4	0
1:30 - 1:45 pm	0	0	1	0	5	95	2	0	6	1	5	0	0	76	2	0
1:45 - 2:00 pm	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Hour Total	8	3	7	Ő	17	268	10	0	12	4	20	1	2	269	7	0
2:00 - 2:15 pm	3	2	1	0	10	102	2	0	4	3	8	0	4	74	4	0
2:15 - 2:30 pm	2	1	1	0	11	78	7	0	2	6	8	0	3	74		0
2:30 - 2:45 pm	2	2	1	0	15	00	0	0	<u> </u>	4	4	0	3	80	- <del>-</del> 2	2
2:45 - 2:45 pm	2	10	4	0	10	99	7	0	4	7	4	0	3	00	10	0
2.45 - 3.00 pm	9	10	10	0	10	30	1	0	4	16	30	0	4	34	20	2
1001 101ai	10	15	10	0	40	3/4	<b>Z4</b>	0	14	10	29	0	14	101	20	3
3:00 - 3:15 pm	2	2	2	1	/	86	5	0	1	5	14	0		101	5	0
3:15 - 3:30 pm	4	8	1	0	18	105	9	0	2	1	14	0	1	90	9	0
3:30 - 3:45 pm	4	11	4	0	20	147	6	0	9	9	15	0	4	89	3	1
3:45 - 4:00 pm	/	4	3	U	15	129	13	3	16	12	13	9	1	100	9	U
Hour Total	17	25	10	1	60	467	33	3	28	27	56	9	8	380	26	1
4:00 - 4:15 pm	4	2	5	0	13	145	6	2	0	3	8	0	3	102	4	1
4:15 - 4:30 pm	4	5	6	0	19	128	3	0	4	3	7	0	5	104	8	0
4:30 - 4:45 pm	6	5	3	0	15	156	8	0	4	5	14	0	4	135	6	0
4:45 - 5:00 pm	3	2	5	0	18	185	8	0	4	3	12	0	7	95	8	1
Hour Total	17	14	19	0	65	614	25	2	12	14	41	0	19	436	26	2
5:00 - 5:15 pm	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
5:15 - 5:30 pm	1	10	3	0	30	228	13	0	3	4	20	0	8	91	9	0
5:30 - 5:45 pm	5	7	5	0	27	174	15	1	7	3	15	0	7	136	9	0
5:45 - 6:00 pm	9	4	7	0	28	173	10	3	2	4	20	1	5	145	14	0
Hour Total	15	21	15	0	85	575	38	4	12	11	55	1	20	372	32	0
6:00 - 6:15 nm	11	6	2	0	21	142	3	0	3	3	12	2	5	123	5	0
6:15 - 6:30 pm	3	5	2	0	13	131	5	0	5	5	16	0	3	122	11	0
6·30 - 6·45 nm	4	3	0	0 0	18	141	3	0 0	7	1	11	1	2	102	6	1
6·45 - 7·00 pm	6	1	2	0	11	130	7	0	5	3	11	0	1	96	5	0
Hour Total	21	15	2 6	0	63	544	19	0	20	12	50	2	11	443	27	1
	<b>44</b>	15	0		03	J+4	10		20	14	50	3		440	<u> </u>	

#### 13th Ave. & 9th St. E.

		5	South	bound	L L		Westk	ound	1		North	bound	1		Eastb	ound	۱ <u> </u>	Auto	Ped	Truck	Hourly	Hourly	Percent
_		Left	Thru	Right	Peds	Left	Thru	Right	Peds	Left	Thru	Right	Peds	Left	Thru	Right	Peds	Total	Total	Total	Auto	Truck	Trucks
	7:00 - 7:15	9	30	9	0	5	23	10	0	12	45	14	0	16	47	9	0	229	0	7	1772	35	2%
	7:15 - 7:30	37	45	10	0	5	37	27	0	26	73	14	0	43	80	15	0	412	0	12	1958	33	2%
	7:30 - 7:45	38	71	10	0	11	42	34	0	41	97	14	0	78	112	30	0	578	0	6	1792	34	2%
AM	7:45 - 8:00	43	94	14	0	13	29	14	0	22	103	16	0	92	84	29	0	553	0	10	1445	41	3%
Peak	8:00 - 8:15	32	54	12	0	10	37	19	0	6	84	14	0	61	66	20	0	415	0	5	1108	43	4%
	8:15 - 8:30	14	32	7	0	4	35	9	0	11	49	13	0	14	44	14	0	246	0	13			
	8:30 - 8:45	21	26	6	0	13	34	8	0	12	17	10	0	15	57	12	0	231	0	13			
	8:45 - 9:00	8	26	7	0	12	32	9	0	6	27	11	0	13	50	15	0	216	0	12			
	Total	202	378	75	0	73	269	130	0	136	495	106	0	332	540	144	0	ļ					
-																							
		5	South	bound	L L	l	Westk	ound	1		Northl	bound	ł	<u> </u>	Eastb	ound	۱ <u>ــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــ</u>	Auto	Ped	Truck	Hourly	Hourly	Percent
		Left	Thru	Right	Peds	Left	Thru	Right	Peds	Left	Thru	Right	Peds	Left	Thru	Right	Peds	Total	Total	Total	Auto	Truck	Trucks
	11:00 - 11:15	23	32	14	0	12	57	30	0	6	29	22	0	6	60	8	0	299	0	2	1483	16	1%
	11:15 - 11:30	20	47	23	0	18	55	22	0	11	48	13	0	12	64	17	0	350	0	8	1604	27	2%
	11:30 - 11:45	55	53	13	0	16	69	31	0	11	50	10	0	14	87	19	0	428	0	4	1664	23	1%
MID	11:45 - 12:00	27	53	11	0	23	70	19	0	21	55	28	0	15	71	13	0	406	0	2	1624	27	2%
Peak	12:00 - 12:15	30	46	20	1	23	88	33	0	13	45	27	0	18	64	13	0	420	1	13	1618	25	2%
	12:15 - 12:30	35	49	14	0	25	73	27	0	17	33	30	0	25	64	18	0	410	0	4			
	12:30 - 12:45	20	33	13	0	25	102	20	0	6	42	22	0	16	76	13	0	388	0	8			
	12:45 - 1:00	33	48	14	0	19	80	21	0	14	46	28	0	11	69	17	0	400	0	0			
	Total	243	361	122	1	161	594	203	0	99	348	180	0	117	555	118	0	1					
						_																	
		5	South	bound	b	ļ	WestŁ	ound			Northl	bound	k	ļ,	Eastb	ound	<b>ا</b> ا	Auto	Ped	Truck	Hourly	Hourly	Percent
		Left	Thru	Right	Peds	Left	Thru	Right	Peds	Left	Thru	Right	Peds	Left	Thru	Right	Peds	Total	Total	Total	Auto	Truck	Trucks
	4:00 - 4:15	32	83	26	0	24	114	29	0	25	51	18	0	22	64	22	0	510	0	10	2170	63	3%
	4:15 - 4:30	33	58	23	0	14	96	41	0	14	67	15	0	23	73	10	1	467	1	17	2320	69	3%
	4:30 - 4:45	38	72	27	0	21	133	32	0	22	73	12	0	23	96	32	0	581	0	26	2483	54	2%
	4:45 - 5:00	46	71	23	0	27	152	42	0	40	84	20	0	18	72	17	0	612	0	10	2549	30	1%
PM	5:00 - 5:15	39	84	32	0	40	171	52	0	37	83	13	0	23	64	22	0	660	0	16	2511	26	1%
Peak	5:15 - 5:30	48	70	31	0	27	135	44	1	46	71	13	0	23	98	24	0	630	1	2			
	5:30 - 5:45	58	72	32	0	27	125	26	0	29	74	27	0	28	111	38	0	647	0	2			
	5:45 - 6:00	43	80	41	0	37	94	29	0	16	70	24	0	37	83	20	0	574	0	6			
	Total	337	590	235	0	217	1020	295	1	229	573	142	0	197	661	185	1	1					

Appendix B: Traffic Signal Warrant Analysis

County:	West F	<sup>-</sup> argo, I Cass	ND				Organ	ization: Date:	Adva	anced T Sep	Traffic /	Analysi <sup>•</sup> 8, 200	is Cente 4
/lajor Street: /linor Street:		1: 6	3th Ave th St. E	e. E.			Lan Lan	ies: 2 ies: 1	2	Critical	Approa	ach Spe	ed: <u>3</u>
Diume Level Crite         1. Is the critical         2. Is the intersed         If Question 1 or 2	r <b>ia</b> speed of r ction in a l 2 above is	major st built-up answe	reet tra area of red "Ye	ffic > 7( isolate	) km/h ( d comm 1 use "7	40 mph) Junity of 0%" volu	)? <10,000 ume lev	0 popula el	ation?			Yes Yes 70%	<ul> <li>✓ No</li> <li>✓ No</li> <li>✓ 100%</li> </ul>
VARRANT 1 - E Warrant 1 is satisfi Warrant is also sat Condition A - M	IGHT-H( ied if Condi tisfied if boo linimum \	DUR V ition A or th Condii <b>/ehicul</b> i	EHICI Conditi tion A ar ar Volu	ULAR fon B is " nd Condi I <b>me</b>	<b>VOLU</b> 100%" si ition B ar	<u>ME</u> atisfied. re "80%"	satisfied.	1	Appl Sa	icable: atisfied: atisfied:		Yes Yes Yes	│ No ✓ No
<b></b>								Eia	ht Hiał	nest Ho	urs	Yes	
(volumes in v Approach L	/eh/hr) anes	Minin (80%	num Re Shown	equiren in Bra	nents ckets) more	6:00 - 17:00	7:00 - 18:00	8:00 - 19:00	5:00 - 16:00	2:00 - 13:00	7:00 - 8:00	4:00 - 15:00	3:00 - 9:00
Volume Le	evel	100%	70%	100%	70%		- (-				2	<u> </u>	ω
Both Approa	iches	500 (400)	350	600 (480)	420	1,185	1,122	1,106	974	893	770	800	742
on Major St		(400)	105	200	140						170		
on Major St Highest Appr on Minor St	oach treet	(120)		(160)		67	78	82	111	62	170	59	116
on Major St Highest Appr on Minor St Record 8 high minimum volu	oach treet est hours a mes are me	(120) and the c et for eig	orrespor ht hours	(160) nding vo . Condi	lumes in tion is 80	67 boxes pi 0% satisf	78 rovided. ied if par	82 Conditio renthetica	111 n is 100 al volume	62 % satisfi es are m	170 ed if the et for eig	59 ght hours	116 5.
on Major St Highest Appr on Minor St Record 8 high minimum volu Condition B - In Condition B is so heavy that	oach ireet est hours a mes are mo iterruptio intended fo traffic on th	(120) and the c et for eig n of Co or applica ne minor	orrespon ht hours ontinuo ation wh street su	(160) nding vo. s. Condi us Traf ere the t uffers ex	lumes in tion is 80 fic traffic vol	67 boxes pr 2% satisf dume is delay.	78 ovided. ied if par	82 Conditio enthetica Ex 1	111 n is 100 al volume App cessive 00% Sa 80% Sa	62 % satisfi es are m licable: Delay: atisfied: atisfied:	170 ed if the et for eig	59 ght hours Yes Yes Yes Yes	116  
on Major St Highest Appr on Minor St Record 8 high minimum volu Condition B - In Condition B is so heavy that	oach ireet est hours a mes are me iterruptio intended fo traffic on th	(120) and the c et for eig n of Co or applica be minor	orrespon ht hours ntinuo ation wh street su	(160) Inding vo. S. Condi us Traf pere the t uffers ext	lumes in tion is 80 <b>fic</b> raffic vol cessive o	67 boxes pr 0% satisfi ume is delay.	78 ied if par	82 Conditio enthetica Ex 1 Eig	111 n is 100 l volume App cessive 00% Sa 80% Sa ht High	62 % satisfi es are m licable: Delay: atisfied: atisfied:	170 ed if the et for eig U	59 ght hours Yes Yes Yes Yes	116  ✓ No ✓ No ✓ No
on Major St Highest Appr on Minor St Record 8 high minimum volu Condition B - In Condition B is so heavy that (volumes in v Approach L	oach ireet est hours a mes are me interruptio intended fo traffic on th reh/hr) anes	(120) (120) ind the c et for eig n of Co or applica- to applica-	orrespon ht hours ntinuo ation wh street su num Ro Shown	(160) anding vo.s. Condi us Traf ere the t uffers ex- equirent in Brac 2 or	lumes in tion is 80 fic raffic vol cessive o nents ckets) more	67 boxes pr 0% satisfi ume is delay. 00:21	78 ovided. ied if par - 00:21 - 00:21 - 00:21	82 Conditio entheticz Ex 1 Eig 00:6	111 n is 100 al volume App cessive 00% Sa 80% Sa 80% Sa ht High - 00.00 90.00 90.00	62 % satisfi es are m Ilicable: Delay: atisfied: atisfied: atisfied:	170 ed if the et for eig □ □ □ urs • 000:×	59 <i>yht hours</i> Yes Yes Yes - 00: <u>2</u> - 00: <u>2</u>	116 5. ✓ No ✓ No ✓ No ✓ No – 00:8
on Major St Highest Appr on Minor St Record 8 high minimum volu Condition B - In Condition B is so heavy that (volumes in v Approach L Volume Le	vach irreet est hours a mes are mo interruptio intended fo traffic on the veh/hr) anes avel	(120) ind the c et for eig n of Co or applica- te minor Minir (80% 1 100%	orrespon ht hours ntinuo ation wh street su num Re Shown	(160) Inding vo. Condi us Traf pere the t uffers ex- equirent in Brac 2 or 100%	lumes in tion is 80 fic raffic vol cessive o nents ckets) more 70%	67 boxes pr 0% satisf urme is delay. - 00:21	78 rovided. ied if par 200 - 12:0 - 12:0 - 12:0 - 12:0 - 12:0 - 12:0 - 12:0 - 12:0 - 12:0 - 12:0 - 12:0 - 10 - 12:0 - 12:0 - 1	82 Conditio enthetica Ex 1 00:00 61	111 n is 100 al volume Cessive 00% Sa 80% Sa ht High 	62 % satisfi es are m licable: Delay: atisfied: atisfied: atisfied: 00:0:0:0:0:0:0:0:0:0:0:0:0:0:0:0:0:0:0:0	170 ed if the et for eig □ □ □ urs 00:: ×	59 <i>ht hours</i> Yes Yes Yes - 00:21 - 00:21	116 S. ✓ No ✓ No ✓ No ✓ No - 00:6
on Major St Highest Appr on Minor St Record 8 high minimum volu Condition B - In Condition B is so heavy that (volumes in v Approach L Volume Le Both Approa	vach ireet est hours a mes are me interruptio intended for traffic on the veh/hr) anes evel iches reet	(120) (120) ind the c et for eig n of Co or applica- pe minor Minir (80% 100% 750 (600)	orrespon ht hours ation wh street su num Ro Shown 70% 525	(160) Inding vo. Condi us Traf vere the t uffers ex- equirem in Brac 2 or 100% 900 (720)	lumes in tion is 80 fic raffic vol cessive o nents ckets) more 70% 630	67 boxes pr 2% satisfi ume is delay. 00:24 00:24 1,185	78 ovided. ied if par 00:21 00:21 1,122	82 Conditio enthetica Ex 1 00:6 200:6 1,106	111 n is 100 al volume App cessive 00% Sa 80% Sa 80% Sa ht High	62 % satisfi es are m licable: Delay: atisfied: atisfied: atisfied: 00:00 00:00 00:00 00:00 00:00 00:00 00:00 00	170 ed if the et for eig □ □ urs • 000 ℃ ∞ 770	59 <i>ht hours</i> Yes Yes Yes 00:41 00:51 800	116 5. ✓ No ✓ No ✓ No ✓ No Ø:6 742

Sources: Revised from Florida DOT's Traffic Signal Warrant Summary (Form 750-020-01) NCHRP Report 457, 2001 Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices 2003 (July 21, 2004)

City:	West Fargo	, ND	Organization:	Advanced Traffic Analysis Center
/ajor Street: /inor Street:	0033	13th Ave. 6th St. E.	Lanes: 2 Lanes: 1	Critical Approach Speed: 35
Diume Level C 1. Is the criti 2. Is the inter If Question 1	Criteria ical speed of major ersection in a built-u or 2 above is answ	street traffic > 70 km/h (40 m p area of isolated community rered "Yes", then use "70%"	nph) ? / of <10,000 popula volume level	☐ Yes ✓ No ☐ Yes ✓ No ☐ 70% ✓ 100%
IARRANT 2	- FOUR-HOUR	VEHICULAR VOLUME appropriate line, then the warran	nt is satisfied.	Applicable: Satisfied: Yes No
			Use the middle curve	e of Figure 4C-1
Four lighest Ma Hours Str :15 PM - 1,4 :30 AM - 30 AM - 30 AM -	Volumes ajor Minor eet Street 121 96 87 205	* Note: 115 vph applies as the low 80 vph applies as the low	500 600 700 80 Major	2 OR MORE LANES & 1 1 LANE & 1 0 900 1000 1100 1200 1300 1400 Street (VPH) minor street approach with two or more lanes and old for a minor street approach with one lane.
:45 PM - 1:45 PM :45 PM - 3:45 PM - 9:	131 89 27 86		Use the middle of <b>Figure 4C-2</b> (	curve of Figure 4C-1 70% Factor)
		400 Higher Vol. Minor Street 0 200 300 0 200 30 30 0 30 0 30 0 30 0	2 C 2 C 0 C 400 500 Majo	DR MORE LANES & 2 OR MORE LANES 2 OR MORE LANES & 1 LANE 1 LANE & 1 LANE 600 700 800 900 1000 r Street (VPH)

Sources: Revised from Florida DOT's Traffic Signal Warrant Summary (Form 750-020-01) NCHRP Report 457, 2001 Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices 2003 (July 21, 2004)

ATAC - 10/04 Page 3 of 5 TRAFFIC SIGNAL WARRANT SUMMARY West Fargo, ND City: Organization: Advanced Traffic Analysis Center Cass September 8, 2004 County: Date: Major Street: 13th Ave. Lanes: 2 Critical Approach Speed: 35 Lanes: Minor Street: 6th St. E. Volume Level Criteria 1. Is the critical speed of major street traffic > 70 km/h (40 mph) ? Yes 🗸 No ✓ No 2. Is the intersection in a built-up area of isolated community of <10,000 population? Yes If Question 1 or 2 above is answered "Yes", then use "70%" volume level 100% 70% **WARRANT 3 - PEAK HOUR** Applicable: ✓ Yes No If all three criteria are fullfilled (Condition A) or the plotted point lies above the Satisfied: √ No Yes appropriate line (Condition B), then the warrant is satisfed. Use the middle curve of Figure 4C-3 Unusual condition justifying Figure 4C-3 use of warrant: High-occupancy dwelling units Higher Volume Minor Approach 600 2 OR MORE LANES & 2 OR MORE LANES 500 Record hour when criteria are fulfilled 2 OR MORE LANES & 1 LANE and the corresponding delay or volume 400 in boxes provided. 1 LANE & 1 LANE (Hd) 300 Peak Hour 200 7:30 8:30 100 0 •• 0 Criteria 1700 500 000 700 800 000 1100 600 800 400 006 200 300 1400 500 1. Delay on Minor Approach \*(vehicle-hours) Major Street (VPH) Approach Lanes 2 1 Delay Criteria\* 4.0 5.0 \* Note: 150 vph applies as the lower threshold volume for a minor street approach with two or more lanes and 0.8 100 vph applies as the lower threshold volume threshold for a minor street approach with one lane. Delay\* Fulfilled?: Yes |√ No Figure 4C-4 (70% Factor) 2. Volume on Minor Approach 500 \*(vehicles per hour) Higher Vol. Minor Street 2 OR MORE LANES & 2 OR MORE LANES Approach Lanes 2 400 1 150 2 OR MORE LANES & 1 LANE Volume Criteria\* 100 Volume\* 205 300 (HdV) Fulfilled?: Yes 1 LANE & 1 LANE No 200 100 3. Total Entering Volume \*(vehicles per hour) 0 No. of Approaches 3 4 300 400 500 600 700 800 900 1000 1100 1200 1300 650 800 Volume Criteria\* 1,313 Major Street (VPH) Volume\* Fulfilled?: No ✓ Yes \* Note: 100 vph applies as the lower threshold volume for a minor street approach with two or more lanes and 75 vph applies as the lower threshold volume threshold for a minor street approach with one lane.

Sources: Revised from Florida DOT's Traffic Signal Warrant Summary (Form 750-020-01) NCHRP Report 457, 2001 Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices 2003 (July 21, 2004)

City:	West Fargo, ND		Organizat	tion: Advanc	ed Traffic Ana	lysis C	entei
County:	Cass		D		September 8,	2004	
Major Street:	13th Ave.		Lanes:	<b>2</b> Cr	itical Approach	Speed:	35
Vinor Street:	6th St. E.		Lanes:				
VARRANT 4 - F Record hours wh frequency in the l and condition 3 is	PEDESTRIAN VOLUME nere criteria are fulfilled and the co boxes provided. The warrant is sa s fulfilled.	orresponding volu atisfied if conditio	ıme or gap n 1 or 2 is fulfille	Applica Satisf d	ble: ✓ Yes ied: ☐ Yes	 ✓	No No
				Pedestrian	Pedestrian	Fulfi	lled
	Criteria	Ho	our	Volume	Gaps	Yes	N
. Pedestrian volum	ne crossing the major street is	3:00 PM	4:00 PM	10	0		Х
100 ped/hr or mo	re for each of any four hours	7:00 AM	8:00 AM	6	2		Х
and there are less	s than 60 gaps/hour in the	8:00 AM	9:00 AM	5	4		
major street traffic	c stream of adequate length.	11:00 AM	12:00 PM	3	0		X
190 ped/hr or mo are less than 60 g	the crossing the major street is bre for any one hour <u>and</u> there gaps/hour in the major	3:00 F	PM	- 4:	00 PM		х
street traffic strea	am of adequate length.						
street traffic strea 3. The nearest traffic is within 90 m (30 <b>/ARRANT 5 - S</b> Record hours wh	am of adequate length. ic signal along the major street is 20 ft) but the proposed traffic sign SCHOOL CROSSING here criteria are fulfilled and the co	located more tha al will not restrict	n 90 m (300 ft) a the progressive ume or gap	away, or the near movement of traf Applica Satisf	est signal fic. ble: Yes ied: Yes	<b>X</b>	No
street traffic strea 3. The nearest traffic is within 90 m (30 <b>/ARRANT 5 - S</b> <i>Record hours wh</i> <i>frequency in the b</i> <i>are fulfilled.</i>	am of adequate length. ic signal along the major street is 20 ft) but the proposed traffic sign SCHOOL CROSSING here criteria are fulfilled and the co boxes provided. The warrant is sa	located more tha al will not restrict prresponding volu atisfied if all three	n 90 m (300 ft) a the progressive ume or gap of the criteria	away, or the near movement of traf Applica Satisf	est signal fic. ble: Yes ied: Yes	<b>x</b>	No No
street traffic strea 3. The nearest traffic is within 90 m (30 <b>/ARRANT 5 - S</b> Record hours wh frequency in the la are fulfilled.	am of adequate length. ic signal along the major street is 20 ft) but the proposed traffic sign SCHOOL CROSSING here criteria are fulfilled and the co boxes provided. The warrant is sa	located more tha al will not restrict prresponding volu atisfied if all three Criteria	n 90 m (300 ft) a the progressive ime or gap of the criteria	away, or the near movement of traf Applica Satisf	est signal fic. ble:	X Fulfi Yes	No No Iled'
street traffic strea 3. The nearest traffic is within 90 m (30 <b>/ARRANT 5 - S</b> <i>Record hours wh</i> <i>frequency in the b</i> <i>are fulfilled</i> . 1. A minimum of 20	am of adequate length. ic signal along the major street is 20 ft) but the proposed traffic sign SCHOOL CROSSING here criteria are fulfilled and the co boxes provided. The warrant is sa	located more tha al will not restrict prresponding volu atisfied if all three Criteria	n 90 m (300 ft) a the progressive ume or gap of the criteria	away, or the near movement of traf Applica Satisf ts: Hour:	est signal fic. ble:	X 	No No Iled <sup>*</sup>
street traffic strea 5. The nearest traffic is within 90 m (30 <b>/ARRANT 5 - S</b> <i>Record hours wh</i> <i>frequency in the l</i> <i>are fulfilled.</i> . A minimum of 20 during the highes	am of adequate length. ic signal along the major street is 20 ft) but the proposed traffic sign SCHOOL CROSSING here criteria are fulfilled and the co boxes provided. The warrant is sa students crossing the major street at crossing hour.	located more tha al will not restrict prresponding volu atisfied if all three Criteria	n 90 m (300 ft) a the progressive ume or gap of the criteria Student	ts: Hour: 6 Train	est signal fic. ble: ied: Yes ied: Yes	X Fulfi Yes	No No Iled'
street traffic strea 3. The nearest traffic is within 90 m (30 <b>/ARRANT 5 - S</b> <i>Record hours wh</i> <i>frequency in the b</i> <i>are fulfilled.</i> . A minimum of 20 during the highes 2. Fewer adequate g the children are u	am of adequate length. In signal along the major street is 20 ft) but the proposed traffic sign <b>SCHOOL CROSSING</b> where criteria are fulfilled and the con- boxes provided. The warrant is sat students crossing the major street at crossing hour. gaps in the major street traffic street using the crossing than the number	located more tha al will not restrict prresponding volu atisfied if all three Criteria et eam during the p	n 90 m (300 ft) a the progressive ume or gap of the criteria Student eriod when	away, or the near movement of traf Applica Satisf ts: Hour: 6 7:0 Minutes 60	est signal fic. ble: Yes ied: Yes 00 - 8:00 AM 5: Gaps: 2	X Fulfi Yes X	No No
street traffic strea 3. The nearest traffic is within 90 m (30 <b>/ARRANT 5 - S</b> <i>Record hours wh</i> <i>frequency in the la</i> <i>are fulfilled.</i> 1. A minimum of 20 during the highes 2. Fewer adequate g the children are u 3. The nearest traffic	am of adequate length. In signal along the major street is 20 ft) but the proposed traffic sign <b>SCHOOL CROSSING</b> The variant is set boxes provided. The warrant is set students crossing the major street students crossing the major street the crossing hour. gaps in the major street traffic struing using the crossing than the number is signal along the major street is	located more tha al will not restrict prresponding volu atisfied if all three Criteria et eam during the p er of minutes in the located more tha	n 90 m (300 ft) a the progressive me or gap of the criteria Student eriod when he same period. n 90 m (300 ft) a	ts: Hour: 6 7:0 Minutes 60 Minutes	est signal fic. ble:	X Fulfi Yes X	No No
street traffic strea 3. The nearest traffic is within 90 m (30 <b>/ARRANT 5 - S</b> <i>Record hours wh</i> <i>frequency in the l</i> <i>are fulfilled.</i> . A minimum of 20 during the highes 2. Fewer adequate of the children are u 3. The nearest traffic is within 90 m (30)	am of adequate length. In signal along the major street is 20 ft) but the proposed traffic sign <b>SCHOOL CROSSING</b> The reason of the second state of the second boxes provided. The warrant is second state of the second stat	located more tha al will not restrict prresponding volu atisfied if all three Criteria et eam during the p er of minutes in th located more tha al will not restrict	n 90 m (300 ft) a the progressive ume or gap of the criteria Student eriod when he same period. n 90 m (300 ft) a the progressive	ts: Hour: 6 7:0 Minutes 60 Ninutes 60 Ninutes 60	est signal fic. ble:	X Fulfi Yes X X	No No No
street traffic strea 3. The nearest traffic is within 90 m (30 <b>/ARRANT 5 - S</b> <i>Record hours wh</i> <i>frequency in the la</i> <i>are fulfilled.</i> . A minimum of 20 during the highes 2. Fewer adequate of the children are un 3. The nearest traffic is within 90 m (30) <b>/ARRANT 6 - C</b> <i>Indicate if the critt</i> <i>satisfied if either of</i> <i>resulting signal sp</i>	am of adequate length. In signal along the major street is 20 ft) but the proposed traffic sign SCHOOL CROSSING Dere criteria are fulfilled and the co- boxes provided. The warrant is se students crossing the major street to crossing hour. gaps in the major street traffic stru- using the crossing than the number to signal along the major street is 20 ft) but the proposed traffic sign COORDINATED SIGNAL teria are fulfilled in the boxes prov- criterion is fulfilled. This warrant pacing would be less than 300 m	located more tha al will not restrict prresponding volu- atisfied if all three <b>Criteria</b> et eam during the p er of minutes in the located more tha al will not restrict <b>SYSTEM</b> vided. The warran should not be ap, (1,000 ft).	n 90 m (300 ft) a the progressive of the criteria Student eriod when he same period. n 90 m (300 ft) a the progressive nt is plied when the	ts: Hour: 6 7:0 way, or the near Applica Satisf Minutes 60 way, or the near movement of traf Applica Satisf	est signal fic. ble: ble: Yes ied: Yes 00 - 8:00 AM S: Gaps: 2 est signal fic. ble: Yes ied: Yes	X Fulfi Yes X X L	No No Iled' No No No
street traffic strea 3. The nearest traffic is within 90 m (30 <b>/ARRANT 5 - S</b> <i>Record hours wh</i> <i>frequency in the b</i> <i>are fulfilled.</i> . A minimum of 20 during the highes 2. Fewer adequate of the children are u 3. The nearest traffic is within 90 m (30 <b>/ARRANT 6 - C</b> <i>Indicate if the critt</i> <i>satisfied if either of</i> <i>resulting signal sp</i>	am of adequate length. In signal along the major street is 20 ft) but the proposed traffic sign <b>SCHOOL CROSSING</b> where criteria are fulfilled and the con- boxes provided. The warrant is sat students crossing the major street at crossing hour. gaps in the major street traffic street is signal along the major street is 20 ft) but the proposed traffic sign <b>COORDINATED SIGNAL</b> teria are fulfilled in the boxes provided. This warrant pacing would be less than 300 m	located more tha al will not restrict prresponding volu atisfied if all three <b>Criteria</b> et eam during the p er of minutes in the located more tha al will not restrict <b>SYSTEM</b> vided. The warran should not be ap, (1,000 ft).	n 90 m (300 ft) a the progressive of the criteria student eriod when he same period. n 90 m (300 ft) a the progressive nt is plied when the	ts: Hour: 6 7:0 6 7:0 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1	est signal fic. ble: yes ied: Yes yes yes signal fic. ble: yes ied: Yes yes yes yes yes yes yes yes y	X □ Fulfi Yes X X L □ Fulfi	No No No No No No
street traffic strea 3. The nearest traffic is within 90 m (30 <b>/ARRANT 5 - S</b> <i>Record hours wh</i> <i>frequency in the l</i> <i>are fulfilled.</i> . A minimum of 20 during the highes 2. Fewer adequate ( the children are u 3. The nearest traffic is within 90 m (30 <b>/ARRANT 6 - C</b> <i>Indicate if the critt</i> satisfied if either of <i>resulting signal s</i>	am of adequate length. In signal along the major street is 20 ft) but the proposed traffic sign <b>SCHOOL CROSSING</b> The variant is set boxes provided. The warrant is set students crossing the major street st crossing hour. gaps in the major street traffic str using the crossing than the number ic signal along the major street is 20 ft) but the proposed traffic sign <b>COORDINATED SIGNAL</b> teria are fulfilled in the boxes provided. This warrant pacing would be less than 300 m	located more tha al will not restrict prresponding volu- atisfied if all three Criteria et eam during the p er of minutes in the located more tha al will not restrict SYSTEM vided. The warran should not be ap, (1,000 ft). Criteria	n 90 m (300 ft) a the progressive ume or gap of the criteria Student eriod when he same period. n 90 m (300 ft) a the progressive nt is plied when the	ts: Hour: 6 7:0 way, or the neard Satisf	est signal fic. ble: ble: Yes ied: Yes 00 - 8:00 AM S: Gaps: 2 est signal fic. ble: Yes ied: Yes	X Fulfi Yes X X	No No No No No No
street traffic strea 3. The nearest traffic is within 90 m (30 <b>/ARRANT 5 - S</b> <i>Record hours wh</i> <i>frequency in the la</i> <i>are fulfilled.</i> 1. A minimum of 20 during the highes 2. Fewer adequate of the children are u 3. The nearest traffic is within 90 m (30 <b>/ARRANT 6 - C</b> <i>Indicate if the crit</i> <i>satisfied if either of</i> <i>resulting signal sp</i> 1. On a one-way str	am of adequate length. It signal along the major street is 20 ft) but the proposed traffic sign SCHOOL CROSSING mere criteria are fulfilled and the co- boxes provided. The warrant is se students crossing the major street ts crossing hour. gaps in the major street traffic str using the crossing than the number is signal along the major street is 20 ft) but the proposed traffic sign COORDINATED SIGNAL teria are fulfilled in the boxes provi- criterion is fulfilled. This warrant pacing would be less than 300 m reet or a street that has traffic pre-	located more tha al will not restrict prresponding volu atisfied if all three Criteria et eam during the p er of minutes in th located more tha al will not restrict SYSTEM rided. The warra should not be ap, (1,000 ft). Criteria dominately in one	n 90 m (300 ft) a the progressive of the criteria Student eriod when ne same period. n 90 m (300 ft) a the progressive nt is plied when the	ts: Hour: 6 7:0 Minutes 60 Minute	est signal fic. ble: led: Yes ied: Yes output st signal fic. ble: Yes ied: Yes	X Fulfi Yes X X T Fulfi Yes	No No No No No No
street traffic strea 3. The nearest traffic is within 90 m (30 <b>VARRANT 5 - S</b> <i>Record hours wh</i> <i>frequency in the b</i> <i>are fulfilled.</i> 1. A minimum of 20 during the highes 2. Fewer adequate g the children are u 3. The nearest traffic is within 90 m (30 <b>VARRANT 6 - C</b> <i>Indicate if the criti</i> <i>satisfied if either of</i> <i>resulting signal sy</i> 1. On a one-way str so far apart that t	am of adequate length. In signal along the major street is 20 ft) but the proposed traffic sign <b>SCHOOL CROSSING</b> here criteria are fulfilled and the co- boxes provided. The warrant is set students crossing the major street at crossing hour. gaps in the major street traffic str using the crossing than the number ic signal along the major street is 20 ft) but the proposed traffic sign <b>COORDINATED SIGNAL</b> teria are fulfilled in the boxes provided. This warrant pacing would be less than 300 m reet or a street that has traffic pre- they do not provide the necessary	located more tha al will not restrict prresponding volu atisfied if all three <b>Criteria</b> et eam during the p er of minutes in the located more tha al will not restrict <b>SYSTEM</b> vided. The warran should not be ap, (1,000 ft). <b>Criteria</b> dominately in one videgree of vehicl	n 90 m (300 ft) a the progressive of the criteria Student eriod when ne same period. n 90 m (300 ft) a the progressive nt is plied when the e direction, the ac e platooning.	ts: Hour: 6 7:0 Minutes 60 way, or the neare movement of traf	est signal fic. ble: Set Signal fic. D0 - 8:00 AM S: Gaps: 2 est signal fic. ble: Yes ied: Yes ied: Yes	X Fulfi Yes X X T	No No No No No No No No No

Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices 2003 (July 21, 2004)

La La La Ig volume, and other d if all three of the cris Hour Hour Measure tried:	Lanes:	2 C 1 Applica Satis	able: sfied:	et?	Speed:	35 lo lo led?
La Ing volume, and other d if all three of the crite Hour Measure tried: 1. Number o	Lanes:	1 Applic: Satis	able: sfied: Yes	Yes     Yes     Yes     Yes	Fulfill Yes	lo lo led? Nc
ng volume, and other d if all three of the cris Hour Measure tried:	er criteria	Applic: Satis	able: sfied: Yes	Yes     Yes     Yes     Yes	Fulfill Yes	lo lo led? No
Hour Hour Measure tried:	r of crashes	Volume	M Yes	et? No	Fulfill Yes	led? No
Hour Hour Measure tried:	r of crashes	Volume	Yes	No	Yes	No
Measure tried: 1. Number o	r of crashes					
Measure tried: 1. Number o	r of crashes					
Measure tried: 1. Number o	r of crashes					
Measure tried: 1. Number o	r of crashes				•	
Measure tried: 1. Number o	r of crashes		7	1	, I	
Measure tried: 1. Number o	r of crashes					
Measure tried: 1. Number o	r of crashes					
Number o	r of crashes					
J. Number o	r of crashes					
d.	r of crashes					
		es per 12 mor	ntns:			
			M	et?	Fulfil	ed?
			Yes	No	Yes	Nc
		/olume:				
eh/hr En	Entering Vo					
eh/hr En	Entering Vo	0 0	_			
eh/hr En Warrant:	Entering Vo	2 3			<u> </u>	
eh/hr En Warrant: Satisfied?:	Entering Vo	2 3				
eh/hr En Warrant: Satisfied?:	Entering Vo	2 3	← Ho	ur		
eh/hr En Warrant: Satisfied?:	Entering Vo	2 3	← Ho	ur		
eh/hr Er Warrant: Satisfied?:	Entering Vo	2 3	← Ho ← Vo	ur lume		
eh/hr En Warrant: Satisfied?:	Entering Vo	2 3	← Ho ← Vo	ur lume et?	Fulfill	
eh/hr Er Warrant: Satisfied?:	Entering Vo	2 3	← Ho ← Vo 	ur lume et? No	Fulfill	ed?
eh/hr Er Warrant: Satisfied?: 	Entering Vo	2 3	← Ho ← Vo M Yes	ur lume et? No	Fulfill Yes	ed? No
eh/hr Er Warrant: Satisfied?: r Routes	Entering Vo	2 3	← Ho ← Vo M Yes	ur lume et? No	Fulfill Yes	ed? No
eh/hr Er Warrant: Satisfied?: r Routes incipal roadway	Entering Vo	2 3 Major Street: Minor Street: Major Street:	← Ho ← Vo <u>M</u> Yes	ur lume et? No	Fulfill Yes	led? No
eh/hr Er Warrant: Satisfied?: ncipal roadway	Entering Vo	2 3 Major Street: Minor Street: Major Street: Major Street: Major Street:	← Ho ← Vo Yes	ur lume et? No	Fulfill Yes	led? No
eh/hr Er Warrant: Satisfied?: Satisfied?: ncipal roadway	Entering Vo	2 3 Major Street: Minor Street: Major Street: Major Street: Major Street: Major Street:	← Ho ← Vo <u>Yes</u>	ur lume et? No	Fulfill Yes	led? No
ondin d if a of the	g volume or ot at least one of t e characteristic	g volume or other at least one of the criteria characteristics listed.	Applic og volume or other Satis te least one of the criteria o characteristics listed.	Applicable: g volume or other Satisfied: the least one of the criteria characteristics listed. M Yes	Applicable: Yes g volume or other Satisfied: Yes teleast one of the criteria characteristics listed.  Met? Yes No	Applicable: Yes V ng volume or other Satisfied: Yes N te least one of the criteria te characteristics listed. Met? Fulfill Yes No Yes Fulfill

NCHRP Report 457, 2001 Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices 2003 (July 21, 2004)